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**Course Topic & Reading Schedule**

Go to _Weekly Folders_ in Bb and review the folder for each week. Unless marked “OPTIONAL” assume you are responsible for what’s posted in the weekly folder. The folders are for the “Friday” hybrid session.

Complete the textbook readings listed below before we meet on Mondays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEEK</th>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>READING/ASSIGNMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Jan. 12</td>
<td>Intro &amp; 19th Century</td>
<td>Major Problems Ch. 1; An Empire Preface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Jan. 19</td>
<td>19th Century</td>
<td>An Empire Ch. 1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Jan. 26</td>
<td>1890s – 1912</td>
<td>Readings posted in Blackboard; An Empire Ch. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Feb. 2</td>
<td>1910s</td>
<td>Major Problems Ch. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Feb. 9</td>
<td>1920s</td>
<td>Major Problems Ch. 3; An Empire Ch. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Feb. 16</td>
<td>1930s</td>
<td>Major Problems Ch. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Feb. 23</td>
<td>1940s</td>
<td>Major Problems Ch. 5; An Empire Ch. 5 to page 111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8 March 2  | 1940s              | Major Problems Ch. 6; An Empire Ch. 5 from page 111  
Complete Midterm Exam before break |

**MARCH 9-13 SPRING BREAK**

| 9 March 16 | Cold War & Korea  | Major Problems Ch. 7                             |
| 10 March 23 | 1950s           | Major Problems Ch. 8                             |
| 11 March 30 | The Ugly American | Major Problems Ch. 9; *The Ugly American*       |
| 12 April 6  | 1960s & Latin America | Major Problems Ch. 10                           |
| 13 April 13 | Vietnam         | Major Problems Ch. 11                            |
| 14 April 20 | 1970s           | Major Problems Ch. 12; An Empire Ch. 6          |
| 15 April 27 | 1980s           | Major Problems Ch. 13; An Empire Ch. 7          |

May 6th (Wednesday) | FINAL EXAM AVAILABLE
The Ugly American Paper Instructions

**Due:** Bring hardcopy to class, Wednesday, April 22. Upload into SafeAssign (find in Bb course) as well.

**Logistics:** 4 pages of text; double-spaced; 12 point Times New Roman font (or equivalent); number pages; proper citations and bibliography or works cited page (depends upon which style you use. If you are a history major you must use Chicago/Turabian); cover page; stapled.

**Question:**

"First-rate local knowledge and linguistic expertise should be a precondition of a U.S. diplomat’s posting overseas. Public and cultural diplomacy will be successful only if executed by skilled and committed people willing to spend many years abroad. Diplomacy is a two-way street. To be effective, a diplomat should know the language, culture, and history of the country to which he or she is posted. He or she must be able to listen."

Was this a quote from The Ugly American? Nope. From senior American diplomat Helena Finn in a Foreign Affairs article (“The Case for Cultural Diplomacy” in Vol. 82 No. 6 Nov/Dec 2003). Yet it sure sounds like something Lederer and Burdick would write.

For this paper I want you to discuss three things. First, explain the shortcomings that Lederer & Burdick identify in American foreign policy and the Foreign Service. Second, explain what kind of foreign policy and Foreign Service Lederer and Burdick believe that America should strive to achieve, explaining “why” along the way for both questions. Finally, address whether or not you think that The Ugly American is an accurate reflection of American foreign policy failures during the early Cold War period. A .pdf copy of the Finn article can be found in Bb.
HST 316: 20th Century US Foreign Policy
Spring 2015
Dr. Valerie Adams

Research Paper Instructions

Due: Bring hard copy to class on our last day, April 29, and upload into SafeAssign (find in Bb course) as well.

Logistics: 10-12 pages of text; double-spaced; 12 point Times New Roman font (or equivalent); number pages; proper citations and bibliography or works cited page (depends upon which style you use. If you are a history major you must use Chicago/Turabian); cover page; stapled.

Assignment: You must write a research paper. I expect at least 5 academic sources other than the class texts. Wikipedia/about.com et. al. will not count towards those 5 sources.

A research paper is an opportunity to delve into a topic that is of interest to you, but that is not explored much in class, crafting an interesting thesis. Pick a topic from the list below that sounds interesting to you. No reason to research and write on something that sounds boring. If nothing catches your attention you may approach me with your own idea no later than April 1. After that date you must complete a paper from one of the topics below.

Topics for Paper:
Each topic is quite broad. You will no doubt narrow down your topic as your research dictates. Each of the topics needs to be rooted in the history, not solely centered on current events.

1) Monroe Doctrine
2) Native American treaties
3) US foreign policy towards Taiwan
4) US foreign policy towards Mexico post-1920
5) Compare US occupations of Germany (post-1945), Japan (post-1945), Korea (post-1950)
6) Historical foreign views towards US foreign policy (how the world views US over the years)
7) US foreign policy towards human rights
8) The role of the media in US foreign policy
9) US foreign aid
10) US foreign policy towards Africa post-1957
11) US Foreign Service
12) US and UN relations
13) Trade policies
14) Self-Determination (Wilson)
15) State Department vs. Defense Department
16) US foreign policy towards Cuba
17) Use of marines in Central America circa 1898-1940
18) US foreign policy in the Philippines
19) Nuclear weapons treaties
20) Use of culture in foreign policy (soft power)

Grading Criteria: A history paper is graded on historical content, but the final grade also reflects the quality of writing and organization of information and quality of evidence.

The paper will be graded by the same standards I set for all written work in all of my classes. (1) The quality of your research is critical. A paper using only Wikipedia and Encarta is not quality research; a paper using monographs and scholarly articles from university libraries is quality research. A paper using multiple sources from the same author is not quality; a paper using a variety of sources and scholars is quality. This research puts forth your argument, or historical content, which I view as a third of the paper's whole. (2) Another standard is your organization. A successful research paper will state a clear thesis statement upfront. The reader ought to know exactly what the paper is about, what the argument is, and what evidence will be used to support said argument. In addition, how your paper is organized lends to its overall persuasiveness. If the paper is not organized successfully the reader will be confused. An argument ought to build up in a logical, obvious fashion. (3) Finally, a poorly written paper obscures your argument and research. Typos, missed words, misspellings, missing or incorrect punctuation are all errors to be caught in drafts. Writing first, second and even third drafts are critical in having a polished final draft to turn in for grading. Samuel Johnson once quipped that what is written without care is read without pleasure. Keep that in mind. Reading your work out loud is a helpful way to improve your writing. However, poor writing goes beyond typos. Poor writing includes paragraphs that fail to follow the topic sentence, run on paragraphs, passive voice, verb agreement, and obtuse or profuse language. Clean, clear and concise - that is the mantra to learn. One English professor said he asked his students to learn "precision in vocabulary and economy of language."

An A will be given to any paper that is excellent in argument, organization, and style. An A paper is strong enough that the entire class could benefit from reading it. To earn an A you must make an interesting, believable argument that adds significantly to - or goes beyond - what you have read in class. A-quality work must also follow a logical structure, with unified paragraphs and transitions that clearly signal how each section relates to the central argument. In addition, A papers have to be well-written, using lively prose that is free of spelling, grammatical, and idiomatic mistakes.

A B paper is one that is deficient in argument, organization or style, or shows minor problems in two or three of them. A B grade is also one to be proud of. It recognizes excellent work that demonstrates a sound grasp of the major themes and events covered in the readings and lectures.
Without necessarily achieving the originality of A-quality work, B papers are also organized around a coherent argument, with a structure based on unified paragraphs and clearly delineated sections with clear, clean writing.

A C paper is one that is weak in two areas (argument, organization, style) or seriously flawed in one, usually style or argument. A C grade shows that you understand the main issues and facts and that you have achieved some success in using these to demonstrate the validity of a central argument. However, receiving a C may signal a need to write with greater precision, to develop ideas more fully, to utilize stronger evidence, and to work on grammar and spelling.

A D paper has multiple problems across the board but displays some germ of skill. Overall it is unsatisfactory and requires serious attention on the student’s part. The problem may be excessive grammatical and spelling errors, failure to grasp basic themes and facts, or simple carelessness borne of insufficient time to complete the assignment.

An F will be awarded to any paper that is simply below college-level work or is plagiarized.
CHAPTER 3
The Louisiana Purchase
Page 63

DOCUMENTS
1. President Thomas Jefferson Assesses the French Threat in New Orleans, 1802 66
2. Napoleon Bonaparte, First Consul of France, Explains the Need to Sell Louisiana to the United States, 1803 68
3. Robert R. Livingston, American Minister to France, Recounts the Paris Negotiations, 1803 69
4. Federalist Alexander Hamilton Debunks Jefferson's Diplomacy, 1803 71
5. Jefferson Instructs Captain Meriwether Lewis on Exploration, 1803 72

ESSAYS
Robert W. Tucker and David C. Hendrickson • Jefferson's Risky Diplomacy of Watching and Waiting 75
Joyce Appleby • Jefferson's Resolute Leadership and Drive Toward Empire 84

FURTHER READING 88

CHAPTER 4
The War of 1812
Page 90

DOCUMENTS
1. Secretary of State James Madison Protests British Impressment of Americans from the Chesapeake, 1807 91
2. The Embargo Act Forbids U.S. Exports, 1807 92
3. Massachusetts Federalist Josiah Quincy Denounces Calls for War, 1809 93
4. The Non-Intercourse Act Replaces the Embargo Act, 1809 94
5. Shawnee Chief Tecumseh Condemns U.S. Land Grabs and Plays the British Card, 1810 94
6. Kentucky Republican Henry Clay Articulates U.S. Grievances Against Britain, 1811 95
7. President James Madison Urges Congress to Declare War on Great Britain, 1812 97
8. Former President Thomas Jefferson Predicts the Easy Conquest of Canada, 1812 99

ESSAYS
Garry Wills • Economic Coercion and the Conquest of Canada: Madison's Failed Diplomacy 100
Steven Watts • Crusade to Revitalize the American Character 106

FURTHER READING 108

CHAPTER 5
The Monroe Doctrine
Page 116

DOCUMENTS
1. Secretary of State John Quincy Adams Warns Against the Scourge "Monsters to Destroy," 1821 117
2. British Foreign Secretary George Canning Proposes a Joint Declaration 118
3. Thomas Jefferson Advises President James Monroe to Cooperate with Britain, 1823 119
4. Adams Argues Against a Joint Anglo-American Declaration in Meeting of November 7, 1823 120
5. The Monroe Doctrine Declares the Western Hemisphere Closed to Intervention, 1823 121
6. Colombia Requests an Explanation of U.S. Intentions, 1824
7. Juan Bautista Alberdi of Argentina Warns Against the Threat to the Independence of Spanish America, n.d. 123

ESSAYS
James E. Lewis Jr. • Ineffective Defense, at Best 125
William E. Weeks • The Age of Manifest Destiny Begins 132

FURTHER READING 135

CHAPTER 6
Manifest Destiny, Texas, and the War with Mexico
Page 136

DOCUMENTS
1. Commander Sam Houston's Battle Cry for Texas Independence, 1835 137
2. General Antonio López de Santa Anna Defends Mexican Sovereignty, Texas, 1837 140
3. Democratic Publicist John L. O'Sullivan Proclaims America's Manifest Destiny, 1839 141
4. President James K. Polk Lays Claim to Texas and Oregon, 1845 144
5. Polk Asks Congress to Declare War on Mexico, 1846 144
6. The Wilmot Proviso Raises the Issue of Slavery in New Territories 146
7. Massachusetts Senator Daniel Webster Protests the War with Mexico, the Admission of New States to the Union, 1848 146
8. Mexican Patriots Condemn U.S. Aggression, 1850 148

ESSAYS
Anders Stephanson • The Ideology and Spirit of Manifest Destiny 151
Thomas R. Hietala • Empire by Design, Not Destiny 157
CHAPTER 7
The Spanish-American-Cuban-Filipino War
Page 163

DOCUMENTS
1. Cuban Nationalist José Martí Cautions Against Annexation to the United States, 1895 166
2. Spanish Minister Dupuy de Lôme Criticizes President William McKinley, 1897 167
3. “Peace—But Quit That”: Uncle Sam Defends Cuba’s Feminine Virtue, 1898 168
4. “Another Old Woman Tries to Sweep Back the Sea”: Critics Lampoon President William McKinley as Indecisive and Unmal, 1898 169
5. McKinley Asks Congress to Authorize War on Spain, 1898 169
6. The Teller Amendment Disavows the U.S. Annexation of Cuba, 1898 172
7. American Anti-Imperialist League Platform, 1899 172
8. McKinley Preaches His Imperial Gospel, 1899 173
9. The Platt Amendment Restricts Cuba’s Independence, 1903 174

ESSAYS
Walter L. Fehrer • Preserving the American System 175
Kristin Hoganson • Manhood, Chivalry, and McKinley’s Reluctant Decision for War 183
Louis A. Pérez Jr. • Derailing Cuban Nationalism and Establishing U.S. Hegemony 191

FURTHER READING 196

CHAPTER 8
Woodrow Wilson, World War I, and the League Fight
Page 198

DOCUMENTS
1. The First Lusitania Note Demands That Germany Halt Submarine Warfare, 1915 200
2. President Woodrow Wilson Asks Congress to Declare War Against Germany, 1917 201
3. Senator Robert M. La Follette Voices His Dissent, 1917 203
5. Articles 10 Through 16 of the League of Nations Covenant, 1919 206
6. Wilson Defends the Peace Treaty and League, 1919 207
7. Senator Henry Cabot Lodge Proposes Reservations to the League Covenant, 1919 212

ESSAYS
Thomas J. Knock • From Peace to War: Progressive Internationalists Confront the

CHAPTER 9
U.S. Entry into World War II
Page 239

DOCUMENTS
1. Senator Gerald P. Nye Cites the Lessons of History and Advocates 1936 239
2. President Franklin D. Roosevelt Proposes to “Quarantine” Aggres 242
3. Japan Envisions a “New Order” in Asia, 1938 244
4. FDR Proposes Lend-Lease Aid to Great Britain, 1940 244
5. Roosevelt Orders the U.S. Navy to “Shoot on Sight,” 1941 24
6. Japan Proposes Two Diplomatic Options to the United States, Nov 230
7. Washington Rejects Japan’s Proposals and Reaffirms the Open Door November 1941 252
8. Roosevelt Delivers His War Message to Congress, 1941 254

ESSAYS
Gerhard Weinberg • The Global Threat and the Case for War 256
Bruce M. Russett • Stalemate and the Case Against U.S. Entry into the

CHAPTER 10
The Origins of the Cold War
Page 275

DOCUMENTS
1. The Franck Committee Predicts a Nuclear-Arms Race if the Atom 1945 278
2. Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson Appeals for Atomic Talks with Soviets, 1945 280
3. Attaché George F. Kennan Critiques Soviet Foreign Policy in His “Telegram,” 1946 281
4. Former British Prime Minister Winston S. Churchill Declares an “Iron Curtain” Has Descended on Europe, 1946 284
5. Soviet Ambassador Nikolai Novikov Identifies a U.S. Drive for Wo Supremacy, 1946 286

ESSAYS
Barton J. Bernstein • Secrets and Threats: Atomic Diplomacy and Soviet-American Antagonism 295
Arnold A. Offner • Provincialism and Confrontation: Truman’s Responsibility 303
John Lewis Gaddis • Two Cold War Empires: Imposition vs. Multilateralism 311

FURTHER READING 322

CHAPTER 11
Cold War Culture and the “Third World” 324

DOCUMENTS
1. Iranian Prime Minister Mohammed Mossadeq Defends the Nationalization of Oil, 1951 327
3. President John F. Kennedy Launches the Peace Corps, 1961 331
4. JFK Enlists Youth and Idealism in the Peace Corps, 1961 332
5. A Peace Corps Volunteer Describes Life in Ghana, 1964 333
6. The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico Plans for Tourism, 1949 335
7. Modernity Goes on Display: San Juan’s Caribe Hilton Hotel, 1949 337

ESSAYS
Mary Ann Heiss • Culture Clash: Gender, Oil, and Iranian Nationalism 339
Elizabeth Cobbs Hoffman • Cultural Cooperation: The Peace Corps in Ghana 347
Dennis Merrill • Cultural Negotiation: U.S. Tourism in Puerto Rico 354

FURTHER READING 363

CHAPTER 12
Cuba and the Missile Crisis Page 365

DOCUMENTS
1. CIA Assassination Plots Against Cuban Leader Fidel Castro (1960–1965), 1975 367
2. Guidelines for Operation Mongoose, 1962 370
3. Missiles Photographed in Cuba: President John F. Kennedy Meets with His

4. Kennedy Addresses the Nation, October 22, 1962 377
5. Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev Asks for a U.S. No-Invasion Pledge, October 26, 1962 380
7. Kennedy and ExComm Consider Trading the Jupiter Missiles in Turkey, October 27, 1962 383

ESSAYS
Robert Dallek • Patient Diplomacy and Measured Pressure: JFK’s Finest Hour 386
Thomas G. Paterson • Spinning Out of Control: Kennedy’s War Against Cuba and the Missile Crisis 398

FURTHER READING 410

CHAPTER 13
The Vietnam War Page 412

DOCUMENTS
1. The Vietnamese Declaration of Independence, 1945 415
3. North Vietnamese General Vo Nguyen Giap Outlines His People’s War Strategy, 1961 417
4. The Tonkin Gulf Resolution Authorizes the President to Use Force, 1964 419
5. A Bureaucratic Insider Laments the Momentum Against Negotiation, November 1964 420
6. President Lyndon B. Johnson’s Advisers Chart the Path to Military Escalation, December 1964 421
7. Chinese Leader Mao Zedong Urges the North Vietnamese to Fight On, 1965 423

ESSAYS
Robert Buzan • International Capitalism and Communism Collide with Vietnamese Nationalism 427
Fredrik Logevall • Lyndon Johnson and His Advisers Pursue Personal Credibility and War 435
Robert K. Brigham • An Unwinnable War 444

FURTHER READING
CHAPTER 14
The Cold War Ends and the Post–Cold War Era Begins
Page 453

DOCUMENTS
1. Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger Defines Détente, 1974 456
2. President Jimmy Carter Condemns the Soviet Invasion of Afghanistan, 1980 458
3. President Ronald Reagan Denounces the Soviet Union, 1981 460
4. Reagan Defends SDI After the Reykjavik Summit Meeting, 1986 462
5. Soviet General Secretary Mikhail Gorbachev Criticizes SDI After the Reykjavik Summit Meeting, 1986 464
6. Paul Kennedy on “Imperial Overstretch” and the Relative Decline of the United States, 1987 465
8. President George Bush Proclaims Cold War Victory, 1990 469

ESSAYS
John Lewis Gaddis • President Ronald Reagan’s Successful Strategy of Negotiating from Strength 472
Raymond L. Garthoff • Mikhail Gorbachev’s Bold Initiative and Reagan’s Modest Response 480

FURTHER READING 489

CHAPTER 15
September 11, 2001, and Anti-Americanism in the Muslim World
Page 492

DOCUMENTS
1. President Franklin D. Roosevelt Befriends King Ibn Saud, 1945 496
2. The National Security Council Weighs U.S. Options in the Middle East, 1948 497
3. Egypt’s Gamal Abdel Nasser Justifies Nationalizing the Suez Canal, 1956 501
4. The Palestinian National Covenant Calls for the Liberation of Palestine, 1968 502
6. President George Bush Declares a New World Order During the Persian
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