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<td>See page two of the syllabus, Course Requirements, and Rubrics for each of the papers.</td>
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<tr>
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<td>The papers require identifying an issue discussed in the various readings for the particular section of the course, analyzing the various relevant material, critically evaluating the data and claims made in these works and writing a paper in which the student advances a claim, a thesis regarding the aspect of the topic covered in the section upon which they have focused. Their arguments must support their claim by appropriate, critically evaluated data.</td>
<td>See page two of the syllabus, Course Requirements and Rubrics for each paper; assigned readings.</td>
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<td>3</td>
<td>Each paper as already noted requires students to analyze various relevant assigned material (and they may include additional materials not assigned in the class), identify relevant theoretical assumptions shaping the claims made in those works, identify and discuss strengths and limitations of methodologies used in gathering the relevant data in these works, critically evaluate the overall argument, assertion made in these works. Students then will draw upon at least several sources to advance their own well supported claims, theses, regarding a particular aspects of an issue covered in that section of the course. For</td>
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Instructor provides detailed feedback with one week of the paper’s submission that notes conceptual and writing strengths and areas for improvement. These range from comments on grammatical errors, to paragraphing to overall organization of the paper. Comments also discuss the clarity of the students writing. In addition, comments note the success of the students at critically analyzing the various relevant readings in terms of the concerns discussed above (e.g., theoretical (conceptual assumptions of the work), methodology, adequacy of data). Students are invited to submit thesis paragraphs before they submit their actual papers as well, and the instructor encourages students to meet with him while students are identifying the issue they will discuss and the thesis they will advance. After receiving papers back, students are again encouraged to meet with the instructor to review the evaluation of the work, in particular, to advance the students’ understanding of strengths of their thinking and writing as well as areas required improvement.

The syllabus notes the percentage of grade assigned for each assignment. The rubrics identify the factors that determine a student’s grade. In addition, the instructor devotes some class time going over the paper requirements, in particular, explicating how the assignments serve to achieve the learning outcomes for the course.
REL 321  **Religion in America**

History of religion in America with attention to issues of historiography, pluralism, gender, race, ethnicity, politics, and social reform.

**Allow multiple enrollments:** No  
**Repeatable for credit:** No  
**Primary course component:** Lecture  
**Grading method:** Student Option

**Offered by:**  
New College of Interdisciplinary Arts and Sciences -- School of Humanities, Arts, and Cultural Studies

Pre-requisites: Minimum 24 hours; ENG 102, 105 or 108 with C or better  
Pre-requisites: Minimum 24 hours; ENG 102, 105 or 108 with C or better  
College of Liberal Arts and Sciences -- Historical, Philosophical & Religious Studies, Sch

Pre-requisites: Minimum 24 hours; ENG 102, 105 or 108 with C or better
Course Description and Learning Outcomes

This course analyzes several features of religion in contemporary America. In particular, it examines: 1. Sociological data and studies of religious identification in contemporary America, 2. Studies of the religious attitudes, practices and identities of the Millennial generation, especially those of college age, including their sexual activities and values and the connections between them and their religious-spiritual identities, 3. Interconnections between religious and spiritual views and practices and issues of health care and health related behaviors, and 4. Several aspects of the relationship between religion and politics in contemporary America.

The course satisfies learning outcomes S1-3 and K1-3 of Religious Studies.

Required Books

All of these books have been ordered through the ASU bookstore, are available electronically in the ASU library and can be purchased from Amazon or other booksellers.

Course Requirements

I am dedicated to all students learning and see as primary outcomes of courses in the humanities the enhancement of students' skills in analytic reading, conceptual thinking and concise, clear and pointed writing. Of course learning key information, and more so, thinking about what are the types of broader issues a course raises are part of the knowledge outcomes I seek to have students attain. The requirements for this course include reading approximately 65 pages for each class meeting (130 pages per week), participating in class discussions and the submission of the following four papers, each of which relates to one of the four units of the course. All papers must be submitted in hard copy during the class session when it is due. No late papers will be accepted. If you have some exceptional circumstances that keep you from meeting this requirement, you need to contact me before the paper is due (unless some truly exceptional emergency precludes you from doing so).

The first paper (3-4 pages) is due on Feb. 3, and it should discuss trends in contemporary American religion. You are expected to integrate information gathered from the various readings, Chaves and the online assigned readings. You also should explore the limitations of research that is primarily of a quantitative nature.

The second paper (3-5 pages) is due on March 17 and should discuss aspects of the religion of the millennial generation, including those of that age who are college students. You must draw upon the various readings from this section of the course and include specific information from Smith, Freitas and some of the reports you have read online. You should also speak about the strengths and weaknesses of the various research methodologies employed by the different analysts.

The third paper (3-5 pages) is due on April 14 and should analyze aspects of the connections between religion and health as discussed in the books by Cadge and Brown. You are welcome to introduce additional information regarding religion and health care practices in contemporary America. You also should assess the methodological approaches of Cadge and Brown.

The fourth paper (3-5 pages) is due on May 5 and should discuss some issues relating to religion and politics in contemporary America drawing upon the work of Wald. You must include in this paper comments on recent decisions of the Supreme Court on cases related to Church-State (first amendment) questions.

Grading Scale

98-100 = A+
93-97 = A
90-92 = A-
88-89 = B+
83-87 = B
80-82 = B-
78-79 = C+
70-77 = C
65-69 = D
0-64 = E
Disability Accommodations: Qualified students with disabilities who will require disability accommodations in this class are encouraged to make their requests to me at the beginning of the semester either during office hours or by appointment. Note: Prior to receiving disability accommodations, verification of eligibility from the Disability Resource Center (DRC) is required. Disability information is confidential.

Student Code of Conduct: Students must act in accordance with University and Arizona Board of Regents policies, including:
- ASU’s Academic Integrity Policy
- Arizona Board of Regents (ABOR) Student Code of Conduct
- ASU’s Computer, Internet, and Electronic Communications Policy, which governs the use of ASU-owned computers and ASU computer network

In short:
Do not cheat or use unattributed work (that is, not cited with footnotes, where appropriate).
Treat your fellow students and your instructor with respect.
Do not use an ASU computer or network to do anything illegal or against ASU’s policies.

Course Schedule and Assignments

January 13    Introduction to the Course

Unit I Contemporary American Religion—Sociological Trends and Analyses

January 15    Pew Landscape Study of Religion in America


January 20    Research by Mark Chaves
Read Chaves, 1-54

January 22    Read Chaves, 44-114

January 27    Research focused on particular groups and methodological challenges of survey research.

Unit II Religion of American Millennials and College Ages Students

January 29

Feb. 3 Christian Smith’s study of religion among college age students
Read: Smith, 3-87

Feb. 5
Read: Smith, 88-142

Feb. 10
Read: Smith, 143-210

Feb. 12
Read: Smith, 211-78

Feb. 17
Read: Smith, 279-300, 309-20

Feb. 19 Sexuality, Spirituality, Romance and Religion in American Colleges
Read: Freitas, 3-71

Feb. 24
Read: Freitas, 75-125

Feb. 26
Read: Freitas, 126-94

March 3

March 5 Legislation on What constitutes rape and requirements for colleges to formulate policies

Unit III Religion and Health Practices in the United Stated

March 17
Read: Cadge, 1-76

March 19
Read: Cadge, 77-151

March 24
Read Cadge, 152-208, 209-29

March 26 CAM among American Evangelicals
Read: Brown, 1-44
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Task Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 31</td>
<td>Read: Brown, 45-111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 7</td>
<td>Read: Brown, 112-78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 9</td>
<td>Read: Brown, 179-230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unit IV Religion and Politics in the United States**

| April 14 | Read: Wald, 1-62 |
| April 16 | Read Wald, 63-104 and access on line information on recent Supreme Court Decisions on Church State Matters |
| April 21 | Read: Wald, 105-78 |
| April 23 | Read: Wald, 179-238 |
| April 28 | Read: Wald, 239-308 |
| April 30 | Read: Wald, 309-68 |
Rubric for Paper on Demographic Trends of Religious Identification of Religion in America

Rel 321, Joel Gereboff

Name of Student

1. Paper contains a clear, succinct and nuanced thesis statement regarding demographic trends of religious identification of religion in America. The thesis makes note of broad trends as well as differences by religions, ethnic groups, racial groups, gender etc.

2. The paper explicates and supports the thesis statement.

3. The paper draws upon information from at least three different readings assigned for this section of the course.

4. The paper contains critical reflections on methodological and definitional issues relevant to how scholars study and acquire data regarding demographic trends. Students are also welcome to critique analyses of the data advanced by the reports as well as explanation propounded for the trends. But in doing so they should lay out carefully advanced arguments noting specific points in the analyses and explanations they find inadequate or erroneous and indicate what factors suggests alternative positions are more likely.

5. The paper is well written—grammatically correct with appropriate paragraphing and overall structure.

General Comment:

Grade_____
Rubric for Paper on Religion of the Millennials

Rel 321, Joel Gereboff

Name of Student

1. Paper contains a clear, succinct and nuanced thesis statement regarding some important aspect or trend pertaining to religion of the millennial generation in America.

2. The paper explicates and supports the thesis statement.

3. The paper draws upon information from both Smith and Freitas and may use additional information assigned or located by the student.

4. The paper contains critical reflections on methodological and definitional issues relevant to scholarship on religion of the millennial generation. It should discuss the strengths and limitations of quantitative and qualitative research and challenges to integrating the two.

5. The paper is well written—grammatically correct with appropriate paragraphing and overall structure.

General Comment:

Grade _____
Rubric for Paper on Religion and Health

Rel 321, Joel Gereboff

Name of Student _____________________________

1. Paper contains a clear, succinct and nuanced thesis statement regarding some important aspect or trend pertaining to religion and health (practices, institutional patterns) in America.

2. The paper explicates and supports the thesis statement.

3. The paper draws upon information from both Cadge and Brown and may use additional information assigned or located by the student.

4. The paper contains critical reflections on methodological and definitional issues relevant to scholarship on religion and health. (For example note how religion, spirituality, science are defined by Americans studied as well as by the researchers). It should discuss the strengths and limitations of quantitative (to the extent statistics are cited) and qualitative research and challenges to integrating the two.

5. The paper is well written—grammatically correct with appropriate paragraphing and overall structure.

General Comment:

Grade _______
Rubric for Paper Religion and Politics in America

Rel 321, Joel Gereboff

Name of Student__________________________

1. Paper contains a clear, succinct and nuanced thesis statement regarding interconnections between aspects of religion and politics in the United States. Although the paper may focus on a variety of aspects, it must include among them a discussion of recent court cases dealing with the separation of Church and State.

2. The paper explicates and supports the thesis statement.

3. The paper draws upon information from Wald and additional information student gathers, including that dealing with the courts and Church and State (information is on the blackboard site, but students may gather additional information).

4. The paper contains critical reflections on methodological and definitional issues relevant to how scholars study and acquire data regarding interconnections of religion and politics. In doing so they should lay out carefully advanced arguments noting specific points in the analyses and explanations they find inadequate or erroneous and indicate what factors suggests alternative positions are more likely.

5. The paper is well written—grammatically correct with appropriate paragraphing and overall structure.

General Comment:

Grade_______
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Preface

Extra! Extra! Read all about it!

Dating 101

We, the students of R5 350: Dating and Friendship, believe that an honest conversation about sex, love, intimacy, hooking up, dating, and other relationships found on campus is both valid and necessary. Although these issues are widely discussed in post-weekend debauchery, they are rarely spoken of with depth and maturity. We have benefited from addressing such issues in a spiritual context within our classroom and want to extend the opportunity to the rest of our college community. We invite not only the students but the faculty, staff, and administration to participate as well. We hope you enjoy.

— Student Mission Statement for Dateline SMC. April 28, 2005

GRASSROOTS REVOLUTION

On a cold March day in a tiny room in the basement of a class building, 21 college students began plotting a sexual revolution on campus. The unrest had been growing for a while, but the tipping point was reached when...

It was just after spring break. A few of my students had done the key, girlfriend road trip to somewhere local, or gone home for a week with family. One went to see a longtime boyfriend. But mic...
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