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MEMO
To: University General Studies Council
From: Alexandra Brewis Slade, Director SHESC
Re: Retroactive General Studies Designation for ASB 375 Environmental Anthropology
Date: October 1, 2013

Dear General Studies Council,

We are respectfully asking that the L/general studies designation for ASB 375: Environmental Anthropology be effective Spring 2014. We are scheduled to teach this class in spring 2014.

Cordially,

Alexandra Brewis Slade, PhD
Director & President’s Professor
Rationale and Objectives

**Literacy** is here defined broadly as communicative competence in written and oral discourse. **Critical inquiry** involves the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence. Any field of university study may require unique critical skills which have little to do with language in the usual sense (words), but the analysis of spoken and written evidence pervades university study and everyday life. Thus, the General Studies requirements assume that all undergraduates should develop the ability to reason critically and communicate using the medium of language.

The requirement in Literacy and Critical Inquiry presumes, first, that training in literacy and critical inquiry must be sustained beyond traditional First Year English in order to create a habitual skill in every student; and, second, that the skills become more expert, as well as more secure, as the student learns challenging subject matter. Thus, the Literacy and Critical Inquiry requirement stipulates two courses beyond First Year English.

Most lower-level [L] courses are devoted primarily to the further development of critical skills in reading, writing, listening, speaking, or analysis of discourse. Upper-division [L] courses generally are courses in a particular discipline into which writing and critical thinking have been fully integrated as means of learning the content and, in most cases, demonstrating that it has been learned.

Students must complete six credit hours from courses designated as [L], at least three credit hours of which must be chosen from approved upper-division courses, preferably in their major. Students must have completed ENG 101, 107, or 105 to take an [L] course.

Notes:

1. ENG 101, 107 or ENG 105 must be prerequisites
2. Honors theses, XXX 493 meet [L] requirements
3. The list of criteria that must be satisfied for designation as a Literacy and Critical Inquiry [L] course is presented on the following page. This list will help you determine whether the current version of your course meets all of these requirements. If you decide to apply, please attach a current syllabus, or handouts, or other documentation that will provide sufficient information for the General Studies Council to make an informed decision regarding the status of your proposal.
Proposer: Please complete the following section and attach appropriate documentation.

ASU - [L] CRITERIA

TO QUALIFY FOR [L] DESIGNATION, THE COURSE DESIGN MUST PLACE A MAJOR EMPHASIS ON COMPLETING CRITICAL DISCOURSE—AS EVIDENCED BY THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Identify Documentation Submitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CRITERION 1: At least 50 percent of the grade in the course should depend upon writing, including prepared essays, speeches, or in-class essay examinations. <em>Group projects are acceptable only if each student gathers, interprets, and evaluates evidence, and prepares a summary report</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>syllabus pp. 4-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Please describe the assignments that are considered in the computation of course grades—and indicate the proportion of the final grade that is determined by each assignment.

2. Also:

Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process—and label this information "C-1".

CRITERION 2: The composition tasks involve the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>syllabus pp. 5, 6, 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CRITERION 2: The composition tasks involve the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Please describe the way(s) in which this criterion is addressed in the course design.

2. Also:

Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process—and label this information "C-2".

CRITERION 3: The syllabus should include a minimum of two substantial writing or speaking tasks, other than or in addition to in-class essay exams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>syllabus pp. 4-7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>CRITERION 3: The syllabus should include a minimum of two substantial writing or speaking tasks, other than or in addition to in-class essay exams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Please provide relatively detailed descriptions of two or more substantial writing or speaking tasks that are included in the course requirements.

2. Also:

Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process—and label this information "C-3".
| YES | NO | CRITERION 4: These substantial writing or speaking assignments should be arranged so that the students will get timely feedback from the instructor on each assignment in time to help them do better on subsequent assignments. *Intervention at earlier stages in the writing process is especially welcomed* | syllabus pp.5, 6, 7 |

1. Please describe the sequence of course assignments--and the nature of the feedback the current (or most recent) course instructor provides to help students do better on subsequent assignments.

2. **Also:**

   Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process--and label this information "C-4".
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Prefix</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASB</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>Environmental Anthropology</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain in detail which student activities correspond to the specific designation criteria. Please use the following organizer to explain how the criteria are being met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria (from checksheet)</th>
<th>How course meets spirit (contextualize specific examples in next column)</th>
<th>Please provide detailed evidence of how course meets criteria (i.e., where in syllabus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-1 and C-3</td>
<td>This is a writing intensive course that integrates journal writing, a group research project, an individual research paper, an oral presentation, and essays on the midterm and final</td>
<td>syllabus pp. 2-3, 6-8 highlighted green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>Students will be required to integrate class lectures and readings in carrying out their weekly journaling assignment. Similarly, the research paper will require research and synthesis of social, economic and environmental variables to describe a focal social-ecological system.</td>
<td>syllabus pp. 2-3, 7-8 highlighted blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-4</td>
<td>The modules assignments are spread through the course so students will have timely feedback in order to help them do better on subsequent assignments. Students will also get feedback on their journals and written reflection in order to better prepare them for their final oral reflection assignment.</td>
<td>syllabus pp. 5, 6, 7, highlighted yellow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Course Description:
How do diverse human groups across global ecosystems (desert, tropics, arctic, alpine, urban) perceive, interact with and adapt to their environments, and how are these relationships changing in response to globalization, climate change, conservation narratives and other historical, social and political-economic drivers? Cultures situated in landscapes are the basis for an incredible global diversity of worldviews, norms and behaviors that in turn affect how humans interact with and manage their environments. Similarly, these characteristics affect how people respond to change – in all its forms. This course will provide an introduction to benchmark and current literature and methods in environmental and ecological anthropology that address people-environment interactions. Anthropology will be the starting place for these discussions, but in grappling with the inherent complexity inherent in people – environment relationships, we will examine the role of interdisciplinary thinking and research in addressing some of the “big” questions of our time.

Course Learning Outcomes: By the end of this course, each student will have demonstrated that they are able to:

• Trace the historical and theoretical progression of environmental and ecological anthropology;
• Discuss classic and emerging methods that environmental anthropologists apply to analysing people-environment relationships;
• Describe how historically human groups have adapted culturally and biologically to the earth’s major biomes;
• Articulate a range of current responses that illustrate how people respond to new drivers characterizing these environments.
• Discuss important outcomes and tradeoffs for ecosystems and people associated with specific environmental choices and dilemmas.
• Recognize the complexity of human environment relationships from an anthropological perspective, and be conversant with emerging interdisciplinary approaches for addressing this complexity;
• Apply theoretical perspectives in environmental anthropology to thinking about real world “big” human-environment dilemmas;
• Work collaboratively in a group to analyse the roles of important stakeholders and dynamics of change/continuity in one example social-ecological system.

Pre-requisites:
One of the following introductory courses and a minimum of 45 credit hours completed: ASB 102, ASB 100, SSH 100, SOS 100, or SOS 110

Required Course Texts/ Readings:

Course Format:
This course will be an active and fluid combination of lecture, discussion, films, and student presentation.

Coursework:
Final grades for the course will be assigned on basis of the following breakdown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Weekly Journals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Group Project/Panel Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Research Paper (8 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Midterm Exam &amp; Essay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Final Exam &amp; Essay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>In class participation (other group evaluations, games, debates, conceptual diagrams)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weekly Journals (%15)
For this assignment you are asked to keep a weekly journal in which you critically reflect on class readings, discussions and activities. You are expected to write 1/2 page per week (single spaced, 12 pt. font, times new roman or calibri fonts). Reflections should synthesize ideas or concepts from weekly readings, or/and pose and answer rhetorical questions that are of interest to you (i.e. what questions emerged for you from readings/discussions and how would you answer them?). As we move through the semester you should begin to synthesize how different theoretical approaches and classroom case studies for considering human-environmental relationships are related (i.e. do they agree or disagree, build on or suggest entirely new frameworks or questions?).

The goal of this assignment is to help you link together readings, lectures and discussion topics. You will turn in your journal four times during the semester to receive feedback from me on content and format. As well, you will be assigned to a rotating small group of 4 other students with whom you will (digitally) exchange your journals and give (short) written feedback to each other - also 4 times during the semester. This peer to peer component of the assignment should broaden the discussion of class topics beyond your own thinking and experience.

Journaling will take place for 13 weeks during the semester. Students are exempted from journaling during the week of their panel presentation and the weeks of the mid-term and final. Journal entries will receive either .5 pts. (insufficient), 1 pt. (good) or 1.5 points (excellent). If all journal entries are present an additional 2 points will be added to the total (Example: 13 "good" entries = 13 pts. + 2 pts (all required entries present) = 15 pts. Or (13 "excellent" entries = 19.5 pts + 2 points (all required entries present) = 21.5 points (6.5 pts extra credit)).

Panel presentation (20%)
A core focus of this course is how people adapt and respond to the conditions and problems characterizing specific social-ecological systems. Groups of students will focus on one group of people in the context of their physical, political and cultural environment, and then describe the system and important interactions to the class in a 30-minute presentation. Each member of the group will contribute material to the presentation and give a portion of the oral presentation. The group will develop a conceptual diagram representing all important system components, and each group member will then be responsible for presenting one component of the SES to the class. Clearly, students will need to work together in order to describe the problem and important human-environmental relationships cohesively. Students will sign up online for their preferred panel early in the semester. All members of the audience will submit a written evaluation for each panel (see participation below).

System characteristics and roles (although these may differ from system to system):
History
Climate
Economy/Subsistence
Local Worldview (religious beliefs, land tenure, kinship, leadership…?)
Political-Economic Drivers (Critical stakeholders: Government, Business, Conservation Organizations?)
Environment/Ecology
Human Responses, Outcomes and Tradeoffs (economic/behavioral/cultural and physiological)

Proposed systems
Maasai pastoralists of Tanzania - biodiversity conservation
Agropastoralists of Highland Peru – bioprospecting and ethnoecology
Heterogeneity of economic development in Urban Phoenix
Commons management of the Seri fishery in Mexico
Iñupiaq Eskimos: Vulnerability and climate change
Ecuador: Indigenous identity, economic development and oil

Research Paper
Each student will turn in an 8-page research paper on their focal ethnic group by noon, on the Monday after their panel discussion. For this paper, students must answer the following question. Describe the livelihood of your focal group, the major challenges facing them in carrying out these livelihoods and the means by which they adapt and cope with these challenges (biophysical, political, economic and cultural)? Students will be asked to submit an outline for their paper 3 weeks before the scheduled due date along with 5 peer reviewed sources. The final paper will be double-spaced, typed and 12-pt. font. It must include an additional one-page bibliography (with at least 12 references), with sources that are from peer-reviewed, scholarly journals or books (e.g. no non-peer reviewed internet sources, newspapers or magazines are permissible). References must be integrated into the text of the paper in parenthetical format (Smith 2003:365). Use APA style for both the paper and references.

Midterm and Final Exams and Take Home Essays
There will be one midterm exam and one final exam. Each exam will consist of a 30-minute in-class portion consisting of short answer questions, and then a take home portion consisting of 1 essay question. Take home essays will be graded on how well the general themes from readings and class lectures/discussions have been integrated, and the degree to which students are able to formulate a cohesive argument in support of a proposed thesis.

Participation
There will be a variety of in-class activities scheduled throughout the semester where the focus is on critical thinking, participation and interaction. These include evaluating the class at the halfway point, peer evaluations of group presentations, generating conceptual models in class, playing experimental rational choice games and taking part in informal debates. Students will not receive formal “grades” for these activities, but they will receive participation credit for each one that is completed. The final number of activities will sum to the total of 15% (e.g. 5 activities at 3 pts. each).

Course Policies
Attendance is one of the highest predictors of success in this class. I expect you to come to class having done the required readings, ready to think critically, and then discuss and debate what the material actually means. My commitment to you is to do the same. Your class experience will be infinitely more interesting on a personal level and the class itself will be more interesting at a group level if you and others come to class, and come to class prepared.

To facilitate learning, mutual respect must govern all class activities. This course should be an environment where we raise and discuss different perspectives and viewpoints. But all these activities must take place while respecting the ideas of others.

Please do not engage in disruptive talking, text messaging, Internet surfing/facebook updating, or newspaper reading during class. Also, please remember to turn off your cell phones before entering the classroom.
Final Grades will be calculated based on the following scale:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>90-100</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>80-89.9</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>70-79.9</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60-69.9</td>
<td>Passing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Failure due to Academic Dishonesty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Academic Integrity
All students are responsible for reviewing and following ASU’s policies on academic integrity:
http://provost.asu.edu/academicintegrity. If you fail to meet the standards of academic integrity in any of the criteria listed on the university policy website, sanctions will be imposed by the instructor, school, and/or dean. Academic dishonesty includes borrowing ideas without proper citation, copying others’ work (including information posted on the internet), and failing to turn in your own work for group projects. Please be aware that if you follow an argument closely, even if it is not directly quoted, you must provide a citation to the publication, including the author, date and page number. If you directly quote a source, you must use quotation marks and provide the same sort of citation for each quoted sentence or phrase. You may work with other students on assignments, however, all writing that you turn in must be done independently. If you have any doubt about whether the form of cooperation you contemplate is acceptable, ask the TA or the instructor in advance of turning in an assignment. Please be aware that the work of all students submitted electronically can be scanned using SafeAssignment, which compares them against everything posted on the internet, online article/paper databases, newspapers and magazines, and papers submitted by other students.

Student Standards
Students are required to read and act in accordance with university and Arizona Board of Regents policies, including:

The ABOR Code of Conduct: Arizona Board of Regents Policies 5-301 through 5-308:
http://www.abor.asu.edu/1_the_regents/policymanual/chap5/5Section_C.pdf

Incompletes
A mark of "I" (incomplete) is given by the instructor when you are otherwise doing acceptable work but are unable to complete the course because of illness or other conditions beyond your control. You are required to arrange with the instructor for the completion of the course requirements. The arrangement must be recorded on the Request for Grade of Incomplete form (http://students.asu.edu/forms/incomplete-grade-request).

Grade Appeals
ASU has formal and informal channels to appeal a grade. If you wish to appeal any grading decisions, please see http://catalog.asu.edu/appeal

Student Support and Disability Accommodations
ASU offers support services through Counseling (http://students.asu.edu/counseling), the Learning Resources Center (www.asu.edu/lrc), and the Disability Resource Center (http://www.asu.edu/studentaffairs/ed/drc/). If you are a student in need of special arrangements for we will do all we can to help, based on the recommendations of these services. For the sake of equity for all students, we cannot make any accommodations without formal guidance from these services.

Email Communications
All email communication for this class will be done through your ASU email account. You should be in the habit of checking your ASU email regularly as you will not only receive important information about
your class(es), but other important university updates and information. You are solely responsible for reading and responding if necessary to any information communicated via email. For help with your email go to: http://help.asu.edu/sims/selfhelp/SelfHelpHome.seam?dept_pk=822 and file a help desk ticket by clicking on “My Help Center.”

Campus Resources
As an ASU student you have access to many resources on campus. This includes tutoring, academic success coaching, counseling services, financial aid, disability resources, career and internship help and many opportunities to get involved in student clubs and organizations.

- Tutoring: http://studentsuccess.asu.edu/node/24
- Learning Support Services: http://www.asu.edu/studentaffairs/lss/
- Counseling Services: http://students.asu.edu/counseling
- Financial Aid: http://students.asu.edu/financialaid
- Disability Resource Center: http://www.asu.edu/studentaffairs/ed/drc/
- Major/Career Exploration: http://uc.asu.edu/majorexploration/assessment
- Career Services: http://students.asu.edu/career
- Student Organizations: http://www.asu.edu/studentaffairs/mu/clubs/

For more information about the School of Human Evolution and Social Change, including our degree programs, research opportunities and advising information, please go to: http://shesc.asu.edu/undergraduate_studies. Our advisors are always willing to discuss career and guidance options with you.

Sample Schedule of Lecture Topics, Readings and Assignments

Jan 5th (Th)  
Course Introduction

Jan 10th (Tues)  
Report back on class characteristics

Nature-Culture Divide:
D/C: Chapter 2, pp. 102-117, Fairhead and Leach.
D/C: Chapter 4, pp. 138-154, Marvin Harris.

Film Excerpt: The Nuer

I. Theoretical Progression: Formative and Critical Literature

Jan 12th (Th)  
Cultural Ecology
Moran: Ch. 2, pp. 27-47. Theories of Human-Habitat Interaction
D/C: Ch. 6, pp. 168-180. Julian H. Steward

Jan 17th (Tues)  
“Ecosystems with People in them”
D/C: Ch. 7, pp. 181-190. F. Barth
D/C: Ch. 8, pp. 190-201. C. Geertz

Jan 19th (Th)  
Ethnoecology: Shifting Cultivation and narratives
D/C: Ch. 11, pp. 241-248, H. Conklin
D/C: Ch. 12, pp. 249-253. R. Carneiro

Film Excerpt: Madagascar: Agro-Ecology
Jan 24th (Tues)
The Incredible Power of Narratives 2: Common Pool Resource Dilemmas

Guest Lecture – Marty Andri
CPR Dilemma Games

Jan 26th (Th)
Borrowing from the Natural Sciences
Moran: Ch. 1 (pp. 9-11 and pp. 14-22, 47-50)
Moran: Ch. 3 (pp. 61-77)
D/C: Ch. 13, pp. 254-264, R. Rappaport

Turn in Journal #1

Jan 31st (Tues)
D/C: Ch. 14, pp. 265-283, K. Hawkes, K. Hill, and J. O'Connell

Feb 2nd (Th)
“Natural” Disasters
Article: pp. 479-507, I. Scoones, New Ecology
D/C: Ch. 10, pp. 223-238, E. Waddell

Feb 7th (Tues)
Biocultural Adaptation
Moran: Ch. 1, pp. 3-9; Ch. 3, pp. 77-86;
Moran: Ch. 2, pp. 55-56

On-line sign up for Panel Groups

Feb 9th (Th)
Boundedness and Scale – Incorporation and Autonomy
D/C: Ch. 16, pp. 309-318, R. Netting

Panel Groups meet in class

Feb 14th (Tues)
Historical Ecology
Moran: pp. 51-53
D/C: Ch. 17, pp. 321-339. R. Ellen.

Feb 16th (Th)
Vulnerability in Social-Ecological Systems

Midterm In class portion – Take home handed out

Turn in Journal #2

II. Emerging Methods
Feb 21st (Tues)
Agent-based Modeling
  Article: Janssen and Ostrom, "Empirically Based, Agent based models" (2006)

Guest Lecture: Irene Perez Ibarra
  Mid-Term take home portion due (by Noon)

Feb 23rd (Th)
Remote Sensing and Social Science

Librarian visit

III. Case Studies and Current Topics

Feb 28th (Tues)
Grasslands, Communities and Wildlife Conservation
  Moran: Ch. 8, pp. 227-261.
  D/C: Ch. 19, pp. 363-392, P. Brosius.

M. Moritz: Research Video

Mar 1st (Th)  Panel 1: Tanzania, Maasai pastoralism and Wildlife Conservation
Mar 4 (M)     Panel 1 Research paper Due

Mar 6th (Tues)
Economic Development, Power and Identity in the Tropical Forest
  Moran: Ch. 9, pp. 263-304
  D/C: Ch. 20, pp. 393-423, A. L. Tsing

Mar 8th (Th)  Panel 2: Ecuador, economic development and oil
Mar 12 (M)    Panel 2 Research paper Due

Mar 13th (Tues)
Growth of Cities
  Moran: Ch. 10, pp. 307-331  See Ingerson – Crumley Ch. 11

Mar 15th (Th)  Panel 3: Uneven development in urban Phoenix
Mar 19 (M)     Panel 3 Research paper Due

Mar 17th – 25th SPRING BREAK

Mar 27th (Tues)
Fisheries and CPR Management
Mar 29th (Th)  
Panel #4: Seri fishery management in Mexico

April 2 (M)  
Panel 4 Research paper Due

April 3rd (Tues)  
High Altitude Systems
Moran: Ch. 6, pp. 157-188.

April 5th (Th)  
Panel #5: Bioprospecting in the Andes

April 9 (M)  
Panel 5 Research paper Due

April 10th (Tues)  
Climate Change, Agency and Vulnerability
Film Clips:
Eskimo Hunters 1949
Iñupiaq Whale Hunt

April 12th (Th)  
Panel #6: The Inuit and climate change

April 15 (M)  
Panel 6 Research paper Due

April 17th (Tues)  
Emerging definitions of place
D/C: Ch. 22, pp. 435-457, C. Frake + Changing senses of place

April 19th (Th)  
Continue discussion
Reminders: Take home question next Tuesday
Study guide posted – Final on May 1
Submit Journal #4

April 24th (Tues)  
Final Themes: Summing Up
Where we started – Where we are now?
Final take home question handed out

May 1 (Tues) from 12:10 – 2:00pm  
Final Exam
In-class portion (45 minutes)
Take home portion due Wed. 10:00pm
The syllabus is a general guide only: deviations may be necessary.
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