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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Identify Documentation Submitted</th>
</tr>
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</table>

**CRITERION 1:** At least 50 percent of the grade in the course should depend upon writing, including prepared essays, speeches, or in-class essay examinations. *Group projects are acceptable only if each student gathers, interprets, and evaluates evidence, and prepares a summary report.*
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2. Also:
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2. Also:

   Please *circle, underline, or otherwise mark* the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies *this description* of the grading process—and label this information “C-3”.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Identify Documentation Submitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✘</td>
<td></td>
<td>CRITERION 4: These substantial writing or speaking assignments should be arranged so that the students will get timely feedback from the instructor on each assignment in time to help them do better on subsequent assignments. <em>Intervention at earlier stages in the writing process is especially welcomed</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Please describe the sequence of course assignments—and the nature of the feedback the current (or most recent) course instructor provides to help students do better on subsequent assignments.

2. Also:

   Please **circle, underline, or otherwise mark** the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process—and label this information "C-4".
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Prefix</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>Biomedical Informatics Capstone I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain in detail which student activities correspond to the specific designation criteria. Please use the following organizer to explain how the criteria are being met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria (from checksheet)</th>
<th>How course meets spirit (contextualize specific examples in next column)</th>
<th>Please provide detailed evidence of how course meets criteria (i.e., where in syllabus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Each student will have 3 individual written assignments identifying and addressing key information for the Biomedical Informatics problem they are trying to solve, the available solutions, and the strengths and weaknesses of the potential solutions. Each student will be responsible for weekly/bi-weekly group reports and presentations, oral and written. Each student develop and produce a written project report by the end of the semester encompassing the entire semester of research.</td>
<td>See the highlighted section of the syllabus identifying points for the written assignments, group reports and presentations (oral and written) and written project report. These highlighted assessments comprise 60% of the total course grade. Additional writing is required during the design documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Each student will gather information relative to the problem, interpret data and prior research, and evaluate possible solutions to the Biomedical Informatics problem selected. Each student will gather, interpret and evaluate peer research and presentations, evaluating evidence and providing feedback and evaluate possible solutions to a Biomedical Informatics problem.</td>
<td>See the highlighted section of the syllabus. Outlined are project design documentation, peer evaluations, and creating a project prototype.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Each student will complete 3 individual written assignments, in addition to a final written project report. Each student will also develop and present weekly/bi-weekly group reports and presentations, oral and written</td>
<td>See the highlighted portions of the syllabus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The individual written assignments, written project report and all other assignments throughout the semester will be graded immediately after being submitted and timely feedback will be provided.</td>
<td>See the highlighted portions of the syllabus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Course Syllabus
Instructor: Dr. George Runger, 480-884-0220
Office Hours: TBD
Class Meeting: TBD

Teaching Assistant: TBD
TA Office Hours: TBD


Optional References: Additional Course readings to be determined

Prerequisites: Must be a senior BMI student and have completed ENG 101 with a C or better, and BMI 312 with a grade of B- or better.

Course Description: This class is the first of a two semester senior capstone project course. In BMI 482, students will plan the capstone project executed in the second capstone course, BMI 483.

Learning Objectives: Upon completing BMI 482, students will understand the requirements for working collaboratively in a research context to gather requirements and clarify a problem in Biomedical Informatics, designing alternative solutions, selecting a best alternative, writing project communications including three 8-10 page written assignments and a 10-12 page final report, giving oral presentations, and possibly handling project focus changes. (C-2)

Catalog Description: First of two courses in capstone sequence for biomedical informatics majors emphasizing the development of technical skills and effective team work within the context of a research project in biomedical informatics.

Course learning outcomes:

1. Plan and manage activities for a substantial biomedical informatics research project
2. Work collaboratively in a research context to gather requirements and clarify a problem
3. Critically analyze proposed solutions to a problematic
4. Use current techniques, skills and tools to design, implement and validate a solution to a biomedical informatics research problem
5. Communicate technical concepts and material effectively both orally and in writing.
6. Work effectively within the context of a research team.
Course assessment plan: (C-1)

Individual written assignments of 8-10 pages each (3) – 15%
These assignments will be assessed for prompt feedback (immediate oral feedback and 2-day turnaround in writing) in order for each student to make modifications needed relative to the development of the final project (C-4)

Weekly/bi-weekly group reports and presentations – oral and written – 15%
Project requirements and design documentation – 20%
Peer evaluations – 10%
Project prototype – 10%
Written project report, 10-12 pages – 30%
These assignments will be assessed for prompt feedback (immediate oral feedback and 2-day turnaround in writing) in order for each student to make modifications needed relative to the development of the final project (C-4)

Grades will be assigned based on the scale 90%+ = A, 80%-89% = B, etc. Plus/minus grades will be assigned.

Major topics and time covered:
1. Requirements gathering and representation, reviewing use cases, tool selection (3 weeks)
2. Leadership and Team Building (2 weeks)
3. Oral and team presentations and peer assessment (1 week)
4. Laying out design alternatives and choosing those appropriate to project (3 weeks)
5. Creating a test plan and how to do an evaluation and select appropriate tools (3 weeks)
6. Resume writing and interviewing techniques (2 weeks)

Document History:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course coordinator</th>
<th>Creation date</th>
<th>TAC approval date</th>
<th>UPC/GPC approval date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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