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Arizona State University Criteria Checklist for

LITERACY AND CRITICAL INQUIRY - [L]

Rationale and Objectives

Literacy is here defined broadly as communicative competence in written and oral discourse. Critical inquiry involves the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence. Any field of university study may require unique critical skills which have little to do with language in the usual sense (words), but the analysis of spoken and written evidence pervades university study and everyday life. Thus, the General Studies requirements assume that all undergraduates should develop the ability to reason critically and communicate using the medium of language.

The requirement in Literacy and Critical Inquiry presumes, first, that training in literacy and critical inquiry must be sustained beyond traditional First Year English in order to create a habitual skill in every student; and, second, that the skills become more expert, as well as more secure, as the student learns challenging subject matter. Thus, the Literacy and Critical Inquiry requirement stipulates two courses beyond First Year English.

Most lower-level [L] courses are devoted primarily to the further development of critical skills in reading, writing, listening, speaking, or analysis of discourse. Upper-division [L] courses generally are courses in a particular discipline into which writing and critical thinking have been fully integrated as means of learning the content and, in most cases, demonstrating that it has been learned.

Students must complete six credit hours from courses designated as [L], at least three credit hours of which must be chosen from approved upper-division courses, preferably in their major. Students must have completed ENG 101, 107, or 105 to take an [L] course.

Notes:

1. ENG 101, 107 or ENG 105 must be prerequisites
2. Honors theses, XXX 493 meet [L] requirements
3. The list of criteria that must be satisfied for designation as a Literacy and Critical Inquiry [L] course is presented on the following page. This list will help you determine whether the current version of your course meets all of these requirements. If you decide to apply, please attach a current syllabus, or handouts, or other documentation that will provide sufficient information for the General Studies Council to make an informed decision regarding the status of your proposal.
Proposer: Please complete the following section and attach appropriate documentation.

### ASU - [L] CRITERIA

TO QUALIFY FOR [L] DESIGNATION, THE COURSE DESIGN MUST PLACE A MAJOR EMPHASIS ON COMPLETING CRITICAL DISCOURSE—AS EVIDENCED BY THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Identify Documentation Submitted</th>
<th>Syllabus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>CRITERION 1: At least 50 percent of the grade in the course should depend upon writing, including prepared essays, speeches, or in-class essay examinations. <strong>Group projects are acceptable only if each student gathers, interprets, and evaluates evidence, and prepares a summary report.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Please describe the assignments that are considered in the computation of course grades—and indicate the proportion of the final grade that is determined by each assignment.

   Six short written assignments 30% (5% each)
   2 Essays (Essays 1 and 2) 20% (10% each)
   Revision of Essay 1 and 2: 10% (5% each)
   Final Essay 20%

80% of the grade depends on writing.

2. Also:

   Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process—and label this information "C-1".

### CRITERION 2: The composition tasks involve the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Syllabus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>CRITERION 2: The composition tasks involve the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Please describe the way(s) in which this criterion is addressed in the course design

   The composition tasks involve the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence

2. Also:

   Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process—and label this information "C-2".
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERION 3: The syllabus should include a minimum of two substantial writing or speaking tasks, other than or in addition to in-class essay exams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two essays: (20% each) (length: 5-7 pages).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Essay #1. (5-7 pages in length) Write a 4-6 page paper applying the scholarship on ecocriticism that you have read to date to either *Tropic of Orange* or *Solar Storms*. How do you compare the depictions of nature in the mainstream conservation movement (and texts such as Thoreau’s *Walden* or Leopold’s *A Sand County Almanac*) to depictions of nature in *Tropic of Orange* or *Solar Storms*? How does Leopold represent the relationship between people and nature? How does Yamashita or Hogan represent the relationship between people and nature?

Essay #2. (5-7 pages in length) Using the strategy of Narrative Scholarship, write a 4-6 page critical reflection on Richard Power’s *Gain* or Ruth Ozeki’s *All Over Creation*. Be sure that you support your thesis with quotes from both the literary text and the ecocriticism we have read in the course.

See documentation above on writing assignments and final essay.
ASU - [L] CRITERIA

1. Please provide relatively detailed descriptions of two or more substantial writing or speaking tasks that are included in the course requirements.

- **A 25 minute Group oral presentation:** (10%) You will be assigned to a small group which will choose the materials from one of the weeks on the syllabus on which to present. The Group will present background information on the author(s) we are studying this semester and the ecocritical fiction and scholarship we are reading that week. Your responsibilities in this assignment are:

  1. Careful reading of the fiction and scholarship we are reading that week.
  2. Preparation of a handout for each member of the class on the argument your group will make on the author/scholarship for that week. This handout should cover the main points of your presentation.
  3. The group must meet with me **one week prior** to the date of your oral presentation to tell me how you plan to teach the assigned text. Please come to the meeting with ideas and questions already prepared.

Each member of the group must take part in the presentation by being responsible for a piece of the oral presentation.

Write a one page, typed **Proposal** for your Final Essay that includes your introductory paragraph for the Final Essay, your thesis statement, an outline of your Final Essay as you envision it, and your analysis of at least two quotes from the fiction and scholarship which you will use to support your argument in the Final Essay. Your Final Essay will focus on at least one novel or short story and be supported by quotes from the both the text and the literary criticism we have read. Organize your ideas for your Final Paper, put them in your Final Essay Proposal, and I will provide you with feedback which should help you write your Final Essay.

**Final Essay:** (20%, length: 6-8 pages, double spaced). This paper must address as least two of the fictional texts we covered in the semester as well as the issues raised by the course. I expect your essays to have a controlling idea or focused thesis which is developed in the body of the paper. Your argument should address the issues we have been discussing in class (see questions in the course description above).

2. Also:

   Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process—and label this information "C-3."
| CRITERION 4: These substantial writing or speaking assignments should be arranged so that the students will get timely feedback from the instructor on each assignment in time to help them do better on subsequent assignments. Intervention at earlier stages in the writing process is especially welcomed |
|---|---|
| X | |

1. Please describe the sequence of course assignments—and the nature of the feedback the current (or most recent) course instructor provides to help students do better on subsequent assignments

Students will receive feedback on and rewrite both Essays #1 and #2. They will also receive feedback on their proposal for the final, before they write their final. Each of their six short assignments will also receive feedback in class, as these assignments will be discussed with the class orally.

2. Also:

Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process and label this information "C-4".
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria (from checksheet)</th>
<th>How course meets spirit (contextualize specific examples in next column)</th>
<th>Please provide detailed evidence of how course meets criteria (i.e., where in syllabus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least 50 percent of the grade in the course should depend upon writing, including prepared essays, speeches, or in-class essay examinations. Group projects are acceptable only if each student gathers, interprets, and evaluates evidence, and prepares a summary report.</td>
<td>Six short written assignments 2 Essays (Essays 1 and 2) Revision of Essay 1 and 2: Final Essay 70% of the grade depends on writing.</td>
<td>Syllabus: Grading Policy and Assignment Descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The composition tasks involve the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence</td>
<td>Students will write six short assignments, two 5-7 page essays, a proposal and a 6-8 page final paper.</td>
<td>Syllabus: Assignment Descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The syllabus should include a minimum of two substantial writing or speaking tasks, other than or in addition to in-class essay exams</td>
<td>There are four substantial writing tasks. Two essays, revisions of the two essays, a proposal for the final, the final</td>
<td>Syllabus: Assignment Descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please provide relatively detailed descriptions of two or more substantial writing or speaking tasks that are included in the course requirements</td>
<td>- Two essays: (20% each) (length: 5-7 pages). Essay #1. (5-7 pages in length) Write a 4-6 page paper applying the scholarship on ecocriticism that you have read to date to either Tropic of Orange or Solar Storms. Essay #2. (5-7 pages in length) Using the strategy of Narrative Scholarship, write a 4-6 page critical reflection on Richard</td>
<td>Syllabus: Assignment Descriptions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Power's *Gain* or Ruth Ozeki’s *All Over Creation.*

---

- A 25 minute Group oral presentation, a one page Proposal for the final, and a final essay.

| These substantial writing or speaking assignments should be arranged so that the students will get timely feedback from the instructor on each assignment in time to help them do better on subsequent assignments. *Intervention at earlier stages in the writing process is especially welcomed.* | Students will receive feedback on and rewrite both Essays #1 and #2. They will also receive feedback on their proposal for the final, before they write their final. Each of their six short assignments will also receive feedback in class, as these assignments will be discussed with the class orally. | Syllabus: Reading and Assignment Schedule |
Course Catalog Description for
ENH 475 Environmental Literary Criticism

Evaluates the disparate critical practices that constitute what is the emerging literary project known as "ecocriticism" or "environmental literary criticism."
Environmental Literary Criticism
ENH 475  3 Credit Hours
Prerequisite: English 102 or its equivalent

Overview of the Course:

This course is an introduction to "ecocriticism," defined broadly as a method of literary criticism concerned with the relationship between literature and the environment. The definition of "ecocriticism" will remain an open question throughout the course, as we discuss the controversies surrounding both the literary field and environmental issues in general. We will begin with the assumption that environmental health and environmental justice are desired goals, but you do not need to be an "environmentalist" to succeed in this course; rather, I will be more interested in how you explore and persuasively support your positions on the assigned fiction, film, and media images we read, screen, examine and analyze.

To ground our discussions, we will explore general concepts about nature, Mother Earth, the environment, sustainability, ecological systems, justice and globalization and their relation to literary texts and literary criticism. We will trace the environmental movement back to its roots in the abolitionist, civil rights, and women's movements. We will examine how these roots were both embraced and sometimes, erased, in the environmental movement that emerged in the 1960s.

Questions addressed will include: How does ecocriticism build on and challenge the field of literary studies? Can the nature-preservation emphasis of first-wave ecocriticism be reconciled with second-wave concerns surrounding environmental justice? What is the meaning of "place" and/or "sense of place" in a globalizing world? What would it mean to engage in "ecoglobal" study of literature? How do aesthetic, ethical, and political concerns interact and collide in ecocritical work? What is narrative scholarship? Can creative writers, scholars, and critics of literature, poetry, nonfiction and film contribute to the tasks of protecting biological diversity, minimizing human health risks, and using resources sustainably? What is the future of environmental, literary, and cultural studies? This course will address interconnected cultural, historical and environmental issues and may be repeated for credit when topics vary.
Required Texts:

Leopold, Aldo. *A Sand County Almanac*
Buell, Lawrence. *The Future of Environmental Criticism*
Adamson, Joni, Mei Mei Evans, and Rachel Stein, eds. *The Environmental Justice Reader*
Deming, Alison H. and Lauret E. Savoy, eds. *The Colors of Nature: Culture, Identity, and the Natural World*
Linda Hogan, *Solar Storms*
Richard Powers, *Gain*
Ruth Ozeki. *All Over Creation*

We will also make extensive use of articles and links on our Blackboard course site.

Recommended Texts:

Shiva, Vandana. *Water Wars: Privatization, Pollution, and Profit*
Cronon, William, ed. *Uncommon Ground: Rethinking the Human Place in Nature*

--Course Requirements:

--Class attendance and participation: (10%) The success of this class depends heavily on your discussion, with the rest of the class, of your readings. Students should prepare for class by reading the texts before the first class in which we will discuss each particular reading assignment.

--Six short written assignments: (30%) See directions below.

**Two essays (20% each) (length: 6-7 pages).** Due dates are noted on the daily syllabus. Both of your essays should develop an extended observation or explore a question that you have about the fiction we are reading and the environmental critical issues we are exploring in class. **DO NOT SUMMARIZE THE PLOT!** Rather, the most successful papers will demonstrate an especially thoughtful engagement with the issues that both the course and the fiction raise (see the questions posed in the course description above). I expect your essays to have a controlling idea or focused thesis which is developed in the body of the paper. Also, please see the documents listed under COURSE DOCUMENTS, “Important Considerations” and “Grading Rubric” on our Blackboard site for more information and help on how to write papers for this course and for a clear explanation of my grading practices.

**Essay #1:** Directions for this essay are printed below under the heading “Week 8.”
Essay #2: Directions for this essay are printed below under the heading “Week 4.”

Revision of Essay 1 and 2 (10%, 5% each)

A 25 minute Group oral presentation: (10%) You will be assigned to a small group which will choose the materials from one of the weeks on the syllabus on which to present. The Group will provide background information on the author(s) we are studying this semester and the ecocritical fiction and scholarship we are reading that week. Your responsibilities in this assignment are:

1. Careful reading of the fiction and scholarship we are reading that week.
2. Preparation of a handout for each member of the class on the argument your group will make on the author/scholarship for that week. This handout should cover the main points of your presentation.
3. The group must meet with me one week prior to the date of your oral presentation to tell me how you plan to teach the assigned text. Please come to the meeting with ideas and questions already prepared.
4. Each member of the group must take part in the presentation by being responsible for a piece of the oral presentation.

A Final Essay: (20%, length 6-8 pages, double spaced) This paper must address at least two of the fictional texts we covered in the semester as well as the issues raised by the course. I expect your essays to have a controlling idea or focused thesis which is developed in the body of the paper. Your argument should address the issues we have been discussing in class (see questions in the course description above). This paper may develop in more depth the argument of one or more of your shorter papers or assignments. You must support your argument/thesis in this essay with quotes from the literature and criticism we have read in this course. I expect that you will pay careful attention to your syntax, spelling, punctuation, and diction.

Also, please see the documents listed under COURSE DOCUMENTS, “Important Considerations” and “Grading Rubric” for more information and help on how to write papers for this course and for a clear explanation of my grading practices.

Percentages that will determine your grade:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six short written assignments</td>
<td>30% (5% each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Short essays (Essays 1 and 2)</td>
<td>20% (10% each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision of Essay 1 or 2</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group oral presentation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Essay</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unit One: Introduction to Environmentalism and the Emergence of Environmental Literary Criticism

Week 1: Welcome to the Course. What are the Goals and Objectives of the Course? Syllabus overview. What does it mean to think about nature critically?


Read: Aldo Leopold, *A Sand County Almanac*; William Cronon, "The Trouble with Wilderness; or, Getting Back to the Wrong Nature." (on Blackboard)

For next week: Write Short Assignment 1. Write two paragraphs that succinctly describe how Leopold's text built upon notions of wilderness already present in American culture and how the text also helped to further create and expand the concept of wilderness and wilderness protection. In a third paragraph, explain how some of Cronon's arguments challenge some of Leopold's notions about conservation and preservation of wild places.

Week 3: The Emergence of Environmental Literary Criticism. Short Assignment 1 (on wilderness and Leopold) Due!

Discussion: What is "nature"? What is "ecocriticism"? What is nature-writing? What are some of the major debates in ecocriticism? What techniques do ecocritics use?


For next week: Short Assignment 2: Read Jeanne Wakatsuki Houston's "Crossing Boundaries." Is this an environmental text? (See the Glossary in Buell's text for help with definitions of terms such as "ecocriticism," "environmental text," etc.). How does Houston depict the relationship between Japanese Americans and nature? How does she
depict the relationship between Native Americans and nature? Do you think she successfully links the histories of Japanese Americans and Native Americans?

Begin working on Essay #1. Write a 4-6 page paper applying the ecocriticism that you have read to any of the short fiction from The Colors of Nature. How do you compare the depictions of nature in the mainstream conservation movement (and texts such as Thoreau's Walden) or Leopold's A Sand County Almanac) to depictions of nature in The Colors of Nature? How does Leopold represent the relationship between people and nature? How does Yamashita represent the relationship between people and nature?

Week 4: Defining and Practicing Ecocriticism. Short Assignment Two (on “what is an environmental text?”) due!!

Short lecture on and discussion of New Criticism, Feminism, Deconstruction, and Postcolonial Studies. How do each of these literary critical practices confront, complicate, or compliment ecocriticism?

Survey the Association for the Study of Literature and the Environment (ASLE) web site: http://www.asle.org/site/home/
especially the resources found @ http://www.asle.org/site/resources/ecocritical-library/intro/

Survey the Environmental Justice Cultural Studies web site: http://www.wsu.edu/~amerstv/ce/ce.html

Read: Karen Tei Yamashita’s Tropic of Orange First Quarter

For next week, beginning preparing both Assignments 3 and 4:

Short Assignment 3: Each of you will be assigned one image or character in Tropic of Orange. Write a 250 word ecocritical reflection on that character or image. I will ask you to deliver your reflection orally to the class throughout the next three weeks.

Short Assignment 4: Read Jennifer Price’s “Looking for Nature at the Mall: A Field Guide to the Nature Company” in Uncommon Ground (186-203). Each student will bring in an advertisement that uses images from or concepts emerging from nature, ecology or sustainability in some way to sell a product. Be prepared to present your ecocritical reading of the advertisement to the class. Summarize your reading in one paragraph and be prepared to present it to the class orally in the next three weeks.
Unit 2: Space, Place, and Imagination from Local to Global

Week 5: Discussion of space, place, and non-places. Essay #1 Due. Short Assignments 3 and 4 Due! Over the course of the next couple of weeks, each of you will share your character and/or image from Tropic of Orange with the class, and also your analysis of an advertisement. We will spread these oral presentations over the course of the next few weeks.

Discussion of place-attachment. Current controversies surrounding the concepts of place. What is the meaning of “place” in a globalizing world? Discussion of the concepts of “sense of place.” Discussion of the relationship between home, sacred spaces, work, the work place, and just relation to community and place. Discussion of the issues of borders, frontiers, and cross-roads. The problems of scale.

Read: Lawrence Buell, The Future of Environmental Criticism (62-96); Karen Tei Yamashita’s Tropic of Orange Second Quarter

Oral reflections on characters and images in the second quarter of Tropic of Orange.

Report to the class on your analysis of a nature advertisement.

Week 6: Discussion of Non-Places, Globalization, Deterritorialization, and Re-territorialization.


Oral reflections on characters and images in the third quarter of Tropic of Orange.

Report to the class on your analysis of a nature advertisement.

For next week: Short Assignment #5 Write one page reflection, using Buell’s and Heise’s argument about the challenges of globalization to traditional notions of “sense of place,” on how Yamashita’s Tropic of Orange is both developing and challenging the notion of “sense of place.” Focus your reflection in some way on one character or image or theme.

Week 7: Short Assignment 5 Due! Revision of Essay #1 Due. Nature in the Global City
Discussion: What is urban nature? How are metaphors of nature used to express social and political conflict in the global city?

Read: Yamashita’s *Tropic of Orange* Last Quarter.

Oral reflections on characters and images in the second quarter of *Tropic of Orange*.

Report to the class on your analysis of a nature advertisement.

**Unit Three: The Ethics and Politics of Environmental Criticism**

**Week 8: The Influence of the Environmental Justice in Literary Studies and Academia**

Short lecture on and discussion of the concepts of “justice,” “sustainability,” “biodiversity,” “globalization,” “environmental justice,” and global justice and sustainability initiatives. What is “environmental justice revisionism”?

Read Lawrence Buell, *The Future of Environmental Criticism* (97-127); TV Reed “Toward an Environmental Justice Ecocriticism” (145-162); Mei Mei Evans “Testimonies” in *The Environmental Justice Reader* (29-43); Joni Adamson with Teresa Leal, “Throwing Rocks at the Sun.” *The Environmental Justice Reader* (44-57).

Read: Linda Hogan’s *Solar Storms*, first third

**Week 9: Race, Gender, Nature**

How is nature gendered and racialized? How do authors respond to or reclaim racialized and gendered landscapes in their writings? Discussion of the complications of gender vis a vis the environmental movement. What is ecofeminism?

Read: Mei Mei Evans. “Nature and Environmental Justice” from *The Environmental Justice Reader* (181-193); “Activism as Affirmation: Gender and Environmental Justice in Linda Hogan’s *Solar Storms* and Barbara Neeley’s *Blanche Cleans Up*” in the *EJ Reader* (194-212); Linda Hogan’s *Solar Storms*, second third

**Week 10: The Connections between Environmental and Social Justice**

Linda Hogan’s *Solar Storms*, Final third

Recommended Reading: Vandana Shiva, *Water Wars: Privatization, Pollution, Profit*
two quotes from the fiction and scholarship which you will use to support your argument in the Final Essay. Your Final Essay will focus on at least one novel or short story, and be supported by quotes from the both the text and the literary criticism we have read. Organize your ideas for your Final Paper, put them in your Final Essay Proposal, and I will provide you with feedback which should help you write your final essay. You may also contact me for an appointment if you would like to discuss your Final Essay Proposal with me. I am always happy to meet with you about your writing and analysis. We will meet in my office in Santa Catalina Hall 250-C.

Week 11: Narrative Scholarship. Short Assignment 6 Due.
Discussion: The Pros and Cons of Narrative Scholarship.

Skim the articles on Narrative Scholarship on the ASLE website. Read one or two essays that interest you:
http://www.asle.org/site/resources/ecocritical-library/intro/narrative/

Read: “Some Live More Downstream than Others: Cancer, Gender and Environmental Justice” by Jim Tarter The Environmental Justice Reader (213-228); Richard Power’s Gain, First Half

For next week: Essay #2: Using the strategy of Narrative Scholarship, write a 5-7 page critical reflection on Richard Power’s Gain or Ruth Ozeki’s All Over Creation. Be sure that you support your thesis with quotes from both the literary text and the ecocriticism we have read in the course.

Unit 4: The Future of Environmental Literary and Critical Studies

Week 12: Short Essay #2 Due! Rethinking Agricultural/Pastoral/Rural Landscapes

Discussion: What is the relationship between humanity and nature? Is all labor in nature environmentally destructive? Is all manufacturing in nature environmentally destructive? What do novels such as Tropic of Orange, Solar Storms, and Gain have to say about human relationship to nature and human relationship to labor, agriculture, manufacturing, and production?

Read: Lawrence Buell’s The Future of Environmental Criticism (128-133); Richard Power’s Gain Second Half: Richard White’s “Are you an Environmentalist, or Do you Work for a Living” in Uncommon Ground (See Blackboard).

Week 13: Nature in the Global Economy
Discussion of corporate manipulation of nature through genetic engineering and corporate “selling” of nature. When we buy products, that are made with nature’s resources, what are we buying? What is the relationship between consumption and production of nature?

**Week 14: Where Do We Go From Here? Rewrite of Essay 2 Due!**

Course Review: Putting our ecocritical skills to work. What is the future of environmental, literary, and cultural studies? Where do we go from here?

Finish Ruth Ozeki’s *All Over Creation*.

**Week 15  Final Paper Due!**

**Supplemental Reading**

Adamson, Joni. *American Indian Literature, Environmental Justice, and Ecocriticism: The Middle Place*

Bennett, Michael and David W. Teague, eds. *The Nature of Cities: Ecocriticism and Urban Environments.*

Branch, Michael, Rochelle Johnson, Daniel Patterson and Scott Slovic, eds. *Reading the Earth: New Directions in the Study of Literature and the Environment*


Cronon, William, ed. *Uncommon Ground: Rethinking the Human Place in Nature*

Diamond, Jared. *Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Succeed*

Dimock, Wai Chee and Lawrence Buell. *Shades of the Planet: American Literature as World Literature*

Gaard, Greta *Ecological Politics: Ecofeminists and the Greens*

——— and Patrick Murphy, eds. *Ecofeminist Literary Criticism: Theory, Interpretation, and Pedagogy*

Haraway, Donna. *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women*
Kolodny, Annette. *The Lay of the Land: Metaphor as Experience and History in American Life and Letters*

Kroeber, Karl. *Ecological Literary Criticism*

LaDuke, Winona. *Recovering the Sacred: The Power of Naming and Claiming*

Massey, Doreen. *Space, Place and Gender*

Matthiessen, F. O. *American Renaissance: Art and Expression in the Age of Emerson and Whitman.*

Merchant, Carolyn. *The Death of Nature*

Murphy, Patrick. *Further Afield in the Study of Nature.*

Murphy, Patrick. *Literature, Nature, and Other*

Oelschlaeger, Max. *The Idea of Wilderness*

Pena, Devon. *Mexican Americans and the Environment: Tierra y Vida*


Schwab, Jim. *Deeper Shades of Green: The Rise of Blue-Collar and Minority Environmentalism in America*

Shiva, Vandana. *Biopiracy: The Plunder of Nature and Knowledge*

Shiva, Vandana. *Water Wars: Privatization, Pollution, and Profit*

Smith, Henry Nash. *Virgin Land: The American West as Symbol and Myth.*

Snyder, Gary. *The Practice of the Wild*

Stein, Rachel. *Shifting the Ground*

Stein, Rachel, ed. *New Perspectives on Environmental Justice: Gender, Sexuality, and Activism.*

Tallmadge, John and Henry Harrington. *Reading Under the Sign of Nature: New Readings in Ecocriticism*

Warren, Karen. *Ecological Feminist Philosophies*

Westling, Louise. *The Green Breast of the New World: Landscape, Gender, and*
American Fiction

Williams, Raymond. The Country and the City.
The Nature of Colors

Alison H. Dennis and Laura E. Sawyer

Edited by

Culture, Identity, and the Natural World
in Nature
the Human Place
Rethinking

GROUND
UNCOMMON
Paradise Lost and Found

Introduction: In Search of Nature

Contents
List of Illustrations
The University of Arizona Press, Tucson

Jointly authored, edited by Mel Evans, and Rachel Stein

Politics, Poetics, and Pedagogy

Reader

Justice

Mental

Environment

The
The Future of Environmental Criticism

Lawrence Buell

Literary Imagination and Environmental Crisis and

Environmental Criticism

Robert Young
William Critstone
Dale MCDowell
John Hardy
Peter K. Deves
W. Michael Covan
Dudley Andrew

The Idea of English Ecology
The Future of Society
The Idea of Economy
The Idea of Earth
The Idea of End
The Battle for American Culture
What Comes After

Fortcoming

Where St. Louis
Lawrence Buell
Mark E. Smith
Christopher Coker
Wayne C. Booth
James Hulbert
John Stover
Gillian Weigl
Robert K. Sule
Michael Redgate
Michael Porush
William Conner
Claytonがかか
The Future of Theory
21st Century Admiration
Reading After Theory
The Future of Christian
The Idea of Culture

Already Published

already published deeds and conversations in the humanities and social sciences. The book contains articles and essays by leading scholars in the field, offering a range of perspectives on the future of environmental criticism. The book also includes a conversation with the authors, providing insights into the challenges and opportunities for the field.