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Course Description: This course will investigate the research and theories related to the development of muscular strength as well as research regarding the effects of strength training on various physiological systems.
KIN 460 Theory of Strength Training
Fall 2008
Time: MWF 9:40-10:30
Location: Discovery 301

Instructor: Tannah Broman
Office: PEBW 214B
Office Hours: TTH 9:45-11:45 AM
Phone: (480) 965-2901
E-mail: tannah.broman@asu.edu

Prerequisite: KIN 340

Course Description: This course is will investigate the research and theories related to the development of muscular strength as well as research regarding the effects of strength training on various physiological systems.

Course Objectives: By the end of the semester the student will

1. Through written examinations, demonstrate adequate knowledge of the theories and research related to the development of muscular strength.
2. Through regular questions and analyses of relevant journal articles, demonstrate an understanding of the quality and depth of various topics in strength training research.
3. Through a written paper and defense gain an awareness and in-depth understanding of at least one of the major areas of research in strength training and how that research is applied in real world training settings.

Optional Textbook

Course Requirements

Assigned Readings and In-Class Discussions Because of the emphasis this class places on strength training research, students will be required to read numerous assigned research articles. It is expected that students come to class prepared to discuss these articles in detail and provide critique and commentary on the articles. To this end, students will be given a set of questions related to each article that are to be completed and turned in at the assigned time (see below). References for the assigned articles will be provided on Blackboard. It is the responsibility of the student to obtain the articles from the library.
Article Study Questions  For each assigned article, a set of questions related to the article will be assigned. These questions are to be answered outside of class and prior to the FIRST class period in which they will be discussed. ALL STUDY QUESTIONS ARE DUE AT THE BEGINNING OF CLASS ON THE DAY OF THE FIRST CLASS PERIOD IN WHICH THEY WILL BE DISCUSSED. Students may work together to answer the questions but each student must turn in individual written answers.

Exams  There will be two essay exams for this course. The final exam will consist of an in-class essay component and a take-home article critique component.

Written Paper and Defense  Each student will be required to write a 10-12 page paper on an instructor approved topic pertinent to strength training theory. The guidelines for this paper will be discussed in class and will also be provided on Blackboard. A list of approved topics will be provided to the student at the beginning of the semester. Once the topic has been selected and approved it may not be changed.

In addition to the paper, each student will be required to orally defend his or her paper in a format to be discussed in class.

There will be a number of opportunities to obtain feedback and evaluation of the paper and oral defense prior to turning in the final draft/presenting the oral defense. The feedback will utilize the same rubrics used to grade the final paper/oral defense as well as additional substantive feedback from the instructor. While the “grades” for rough drafts, outlines, etc. will not be counted in the final grade, it is strongly recommended students take advantage of these opportunities.

Extra Credit  There is NO extra credit available for this course for any reason or under any circumstances.

Academic Dishonesty  Cheating and plagiarism will not be tolerated under ANY circumstances and will be punished according to departmental and university guidelines.

Accommodations for Students with Disabilities  Students with disabilities (as documented by the Disability Resource Center) should notify the instructor as soon as possible if any arrangements need to be made for testing, note taking, etc. Every effort will be made to accommodate students with disabilities as directed by the Disability Resource Center. Students who suspect they may have a disability should contact the Disability Resource Center for further information and possible testing.
**Blackboard**  Students may obtain class information and grades by accessing Blackboard at myasucourses.asu.edu. Upon arriving at this page, students will be asked to provide their ASURITE ID and their password. If this course is not listed under your courses, contact the instructor immediately. Blackboard will also serve as a means of communication for the instructor so check it regularly for announcements and other course information. Students are expected to obtain a copy of this syllabus and to stay abreast of class lectures, reading and exam dates.

**Communication**  E-mail is the preferred means of communication with the instructor. However, you must follow rules of professionalism when sending e-mails. This includes using correct punctuation, capitalization and grammar. You should also include your full name and the section of the course for which you are registered. E-mails sent in “text message” or “instant message” format will not be answered.

**Late Assignments**  All assignments are to be neatly typed and are due IN CLASS on the day shown in the syllabus. ABSOLUTELY NO LATE ASSIGNMENTS WILL BE ACCEPTED FOR ANY REASON. Students MAY NOT e-mail assignments to the instructor. You will receive a ZERO for any assignment not completed and turned in IN CLASS. Sloppy assignments (as determined by the instructor) will also receive a ZERO.

### Grading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midterm Exam</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Class Portion</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take Home Portion</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written Paper</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Defense</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article Study Questions</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 pts. per question set x 11 question sets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>460</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Grading Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97-100</td>
<td>A+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93-96.9</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-92.9</td>
<td>A-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87-89.9</td>
<td>B+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Daily Schedule

*Assigned readings are to be completed by the start of class on the first day of discussion of each topic.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Article Discussion and Lecture/Exam</th>
<th>Assigned Reading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Aug 25</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Optional Review Reading: Chapter 2 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Aug 27</td>
<td>Lecture: Basic Principles</td>
<td>Chapter 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Aug 29</td>
<td>Lecture: Reading and Understanding Resistance Training Research</td>
<td>Chapter 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sep 1</td>
<td>Labor Day—No Class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Sep 3</td>
<td>Lecture: Reading and Understanding Resistance Training Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sep 5</td>
<td>Discussion: Murphy et al., 1995 Article Question Set #1 Due</td>
<td>Murphy et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sep 8</td>
<td>Discussion: Murphy et al., 1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Sep 10</td>
<td>Paper and Oral Defense Guidelines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sep 12</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Bioenergetics of Resistance Training Article Question Set #2 Due</td>
<td>Chapter 4 McCann et al., 1995 Burleson et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sep 15</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Bioenergetics of Resistance Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Sep 17</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Bioenergetics of Resistance Training Paper and Presentation Topics Due</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sep 19</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Neuroendocrine Factors of Resistance Training Article Question Set #3 Due</td>
<td>Chapter 5 Marx et al., 2001 Smilos et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sep 22</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Neuroendocrine Factors of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment: [TH]2: C-4: This is the first step in the writing process.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Sep 24</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Neuroendocrine Factors of Resistance Training</td>
<td>Chapter 6 Bohe et al., 2003 Sallinen et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sep 26</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Nutritional Considerations of Resistance Training Article Question Set #4 Due</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sep 29</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Nutritional Considerations of Resistance Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Oct 1</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Nutritional Considerations of Resistance Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Oct 3</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Ergogenic Aids Article Question Set #5 Due References for Paper/Oral Defense Due</td>
<td>Chapter 7 Kersick et al., 2006 Svensson et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Oct 6</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Ergogenic Aids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Oct 8</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Ergogenic Aids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Oct 10</td>
<td>Review/ Catch-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Oct 13</td>
<td>Midterm Exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Oct 15</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Genetics and Adaptation Article Question Set #8 Due</td>
<td>Chapter 10 Clarkson et al., 2005 Ivey et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Oct 17</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Genetics and Adaptation Paper Outline Due</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Oct 20</td>
<td>Midterm Exam Discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Oct 22</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Neural Adaptations Article Question Set #7 Due</td>
<td>Sale et al., 1988 Carroll et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Oct 24</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Neural Adaptations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Oct 27</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Overtraining and the Role of Fatigue in Adaptation Article Question Set #8 Due</td>
<td>Margonis et al., 2007 Folland et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment [TB3]: C.4—This ensures students are gathering the proper literature for use in their paper or presentation. Again, this is vital to the scientific writing process. The writing/presentation cannot be good if the research upon which it is based is inadequate.

Comment [TB4]: C.4—This ensures students are starting the writing process with a good overall organization of their paper and presentation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Oct 29</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Overtraining and the Role of Fatigue in Adaptation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Oct 31</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Age and Gender Effects on Adaptation</td>
<td>Article Question Set #9 Due Rough Draft of Paper Due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hubal et al., 2005</td>
<td>Faigenbaum et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lemmer et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Nov  3</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Age and Gender Effects on Adaptation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Nov  5</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Age and Gender Effects on Adaptation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Nov  7</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Effects of Program Variables</td>
<td>Article Question Set #10 Due Rough Draft of PowerPoint Presentation Due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 12</td>
<td>Hooper et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Candow et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Nov 10</td>
<td>Catch-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Nov 12</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Effects of Program Variables</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Nov 14</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Periodization</td>
<td>Article Question Set #11 Due Written Papers Due (hard copy due in class; electronic copy due to Safe Assignment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 13</td>
<td>Kraemer et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baker et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Nov 17</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Periodization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Nov 19</td>
<td>Lecture and Discussion: Periodization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Nov 21</td>
<td>Oral Defense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Nov 24</td>
<td>Oral Defense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Nov 26</td>
<td>Oral Defense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Nov 28</td>
<td>Thanksgiving—No Class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dec  1</td>
<td>Oral Defense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Dec  3</td>
<td>Oral Defense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Dec  5</td>
<td>Oral Defense</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dec  8</td>
<td>Review/Catch-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Dec 12</td>
<td>Final Exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7:30–9:20 am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rationale and Objectives

Literacy is here defined broadly as communicative competence in written and oral discourse. Critical inquiry involves the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence. Any field of university study may require unique critical skills which have little to do with language in the usual sense (words), but the analysis of spoken and written evidence pervades university study and everyday life. Thus, the General Studies requirements assume that all undergraduates should develop the ability to reason critically and communicate using the medium of language.

The requirement in Literacy and Critical Inquiry presumes, first, that training in literacy and critical inquiry must be sustained beyond traditional First Year English in order to create a habitual skill in every student; and, second, that the skills become more expert, as well as more secure, as the student learns challenging subject matter. Thus, the Literacy and Critical Inquiry requirement stipulates two courses beyond First Year English.

Most lower-level [L] courses are devoted primarily to the further development of critical skills in reading, writing, listening, speaking, or analysis of discourse. Upper-division [L] courses generally are courses in a particular discipline into which writing and critical thinking have been fully integrated as means of learning the content and, in most cases, demonstrating that it has been learned.

Students must complete six credit hours from courses designated as [L], at least three credit hours of which must be chosen from approved upper-division courses, preferably in their major. Students must have completed ENG 101, 107, or 105 to take an [L] course.

Notes:

1. ENG 101, 107 or ENG 105 must be prerequisites
2. Honors theses, XXX 493 meet [L] requirements
3. The list of criteria that must be satisfied for designation as a Literacy and Critical Inquiry [L] course is presented on the following page. This list will help you determine whether the current version of your course meets all of these requirements. If you decide to apply, please attach a current syllabus, or handouts, or other documentation that will provide sufficient information for the General Studies Council to make an informed decision regarding the status of your proposal.
### ASU - [L] CRITERIA

TO QUALIFY FOR [L] DESIGNATION, THE COURSE DESIGN MUST PLACE A MAJOR EMPHASIS ON COMPLETING CRITICAL DISCOURSE--AS EVIDENCED BY THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Identify Documentation Submitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ✗   | ☐  | **CRITERION 1:** At least 50 percent of the grade in the course should depend upon writing, including prepared essays, speeches, or in-class essay examinations. *Group projects are acceptable only if each student gathers, interprets, and evaluates evidence, and prepares a summary report.*  
  **COURSE SYLLABUS** |

1. Please describe the assignments that are considered in the computation of course grades--and indicate the proportion of the final grade that is determined by each assignment.

2. Also:

   Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process--and label this information "C-1".

   **C-1**

| ✗   | ☐  | **CRITERION 2:** The composition tasks involve the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence  
  **ARTICLE QUEST. AND EXAM. AND PAPERS/PRESES.** |

1. Please describe the way(s) in which this criterion is addressed in the course design.

2. Also:

   Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process--and label this information "C-2".

   **C-2**

| ✗   | ☐  | **CRITERION 3:** The syllabus should include a minimum of two substantial writing or speaking tasks, other than or in addition to in-class essay exams  
  **PAPERS/PRESENTATION AND RUBRICS** |

1. Please provide relatively detailed descriptions of two or more substantial writing or speaking tasks that are included in the course requirements.

2. Also:

   Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process--and label this information "C-3".

   **C-3**
### ASU - [L] CRITERIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Identify Documentation Submitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td>SYLLABUS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CRITERION 4:** These substantial writing or speaking assignments should be arranged so that the students will get timely feedback from the instructor on each assignment in time to help them do better on subsequent assignments. *Intervention at earlier stages in the writing process is especially welcomed.*

1. Please describe the sequence of course assignments--and the nature of the feedback the current (or most recent) course instructor provides to help students do better on subsequent assignments.

2. Also:

   Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have submitted) that verifies this description of the grading process--and label this information "C-4".
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Prefix</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KIN 460</td>
<td></td>
<td>THEORY OF STRENGTH TRAINING</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explain in detail which student activities correspond to the specific designation criteria. Please use the following organizer to explain how the criteria are being met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria (from checksheet)</th>
<th>How course meets spirit (contextualize specific examples in next column)</th>
<th>Please provide detailed evidence of how course meets criteria (i.e., where in syllabus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEE ATTACHED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


KIN 460 Theory of Strength Training

Application for Literacy Designation

Description of Criteria Fulfillment

Criterion 1

There are 11 short answer/short essay format assignments for which the student is required to read 1 or 2 peer-reviewed journal articles and answer approximately 10 related questions. This constitutes approximately 24% of the final grade. There are two essay exams, each constituting approximately 22% of the final grade. There is one 10-12 page review of literature constituting approximately 22% of the final grade. There is one oral defense project constituting approximately 11% of the final grade.

Criterion 2

Students are required to read 22 peer-reviewed scientific journal articles and answer questions requiring the evaluation and interpretation of this research (see attached sample questions). In addition, students are required to write and defend a literature review (see attached “Paper and Oral Defense Guidelines”). The review and defense require interpreting the results of the relevant research, synthesizing and evaluating those results, and providing an analysis of the research.

Students are also required to take two essay exams, both of which consist of at least some questions which require an interpretation and evaluation of research discussed in class.

Criterion 3

See the attached description titled “Paper and Oral Defense Guidelines”.

Criterion 4

For the literature review, students are led through a step by step process, culminating in the final paper. They receive feedback at each of these steps. The steps in this process are designed to ensure the students not only receive feedback on their writing but also receive feedback on the research they have collected and the organization of their paper. These steps include:

1) Submission of paper topic to ensure feasibility
2) Submission of references to ensure students have gathered appropriate research
3) Submission of an outline to ensure proper organization of the paper
4) Submission of a written rough draft of the paper to ensure proper writing
5) Submission of a PowerPoint rough draft for oral presentation to ensure proper content and organization of the oral defense
6) Submission of the final paper and oral defense
KIN 460 Theory of Strength Training

Article Citations—It is the student's responsibility to obtain these articles from the library. They will not be provided by the instructor.

Baker, D., Wilson, G. & Carlyon, R. (1994). Periodization: the effect on strength of manipulating volume and intensity. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 8*(4), 235-242. *(NOTE: This article will be on electronic reserve as it is not available online or at the Tempe Campus)*


KIN 460 Paper and Oral Defense Guidelines

Paper

This paper should be a literature review of your chosen research question. A literature review is a thorough, organized presentation of the available scientific literature on a selected research topic. All perspectives on the topic should be included along with a critique of the existing literature. This paper is NOT an essay! It is expected that this paper be both informative and critical. It should progress logically, presenting all available and relevant information and conclude with an answer to the chosen research question.

In addition to the information provided here, other helpful links and suggestions will be provided for you in class and on Blackboard. If you are unfamiliar with a review of literature your use of these additional tools will be essential.

Please refer to the grading rubric available on Blackboard for the precise grading standards. Additional guidelines are as follows:

10-12 pages (not including title page and reference pages)
12-15 quality, peer-reviewed journal articles (only 1 of these articles may be a review or meta-analysis)
APA format throughout (both in text and in the bibliography)
Topic chosen from list provided by the instructor

Oral Defense

In addition to your written paper, you are required to provide and oral defense of your topic. This oral defense will consist of you providing the class with a brief 5 minute overview of your topic followed by a formal question and answer session during which you will clarify and defend the conclusions provided in your paper. While you will be defending your paper to the entire class, you will be specifically assigned to a committee consisting of the instructor and two of your peers. The guidelines for this defense are shown below.

For the presenter:

1) By the date specified, e-mail the instructor an abstract of your paper (no more than ONE double-spaced typed page)
2) By the date specified, e-mail your entire paper to your two peer committee members.
3) On the day of your defense, provide a brief 3-5 minute presentation of your paper for the class. The presentation should simply be a verbal presentation of your abstract. This should be done on Power Point and consist of no more than 3 slides.
4) Be prepared to answer questions from your peer committee, the instructor and your classmates.

For the peer committee:

1) Prior to the day of the defense, thoroughly read the entire paper of the student to whom you are assigned.
2) Prior to the day of the defense to which you are assigned, meet with your co-committee member to develop a list of at least three questions to ask the student presenter.
3) Prior to the day of the defense (at least 48 hours in advance), e-mail your three questions to the instructor for approval.
4) Make sure you attend class on the day of the presentation.

NOTE: The presenter will receive a 0 on his/her defense if he/she neglects to complete any one of the steps shown under “presenter”. In addition, the peer committee member will receive an automatic 50% deduction on his/her defense if he/she neglects to complete any one of the steps shown under “peer committee”.
Article Question Set #5 (Svensson et al. and Kerksick et al.)

Directions: TYPE your answers the following questions in complete sentences. You will be graded on grammar and spelling. Remember, where relevant, always indicate significance.

1. In table 4, Svensson et al. report their results a percent of predicted strength. What does this information provide for us that absolute strength results (as reported in table 3) does not? In other words, why did the authors bother to calculate percent of predicted strength when they already had absolute strength results?

2. Although lean body mass was still significantly higher than baseline after 5 years (Svensson et al.) a number of strength measures were not. Provide one possible explanation for this.

3. Svensson et al. state that in women, only isometric knee flexor strength was fully normalized but in men, knee flexor and knee extensor strength were normalized. There are a number of possible explanations for this. Provide me with one possible explanation.

4. Provide one piece of data from Svensson et al. to indicate that men and women may have a different physiological response to growth hormone. Do not use the strength data.

5. How applicable are the findings of Svensson et al. to the argument for the use of growth hormone as a performance enhancing supplement? Justify your answer.

6. List all significant BETWEEN group differences in body composition and strength in the Kerksick et al. study.

7. Based only on the results of the Kerksick et al. study, do protein supplements make a person stronger (as compared to placebo)?

8. Based only on the results of the Kerksick et al. study, do protein supplements help a person to hypertrophy (as compared to placebo)?

9. Assuming you answered question number 7 correctly, what about the nutrient composition could account for the observed difference?

10. Review the methodology of the Kerksick et al. study. With respect the supplementation protocol, what could have been more tightly controlled to better ensure the validity of the results?
Name: ________________________________

Directions: Thoroughly and legibly answer each question using complete sentences, correct grammar, and correct spelling. You must correctly address every part of the question in order to receive full points.

1. (20%) Discuss the normal musculoskeletal growth and maturation process (from pre-pubertal adolescence through the teens/early adulthood) and the impact of these processes on the strength training response. You should include the following in your discussion:
   a. Changes in muscle mass as a result of the normal growth process (discuss any differences between males and females)
   b. **Major** endocrine change(s) as a result of the normal growth process (discuss any differences between males and females)
   c. The presence or lack of muscle strength increases in response to a resistance training program (in both pre- and post pubertal children)
   d. The physiological adaptation(s) thought to be responsible for any observed changes in strength (remember to address these adaptations for both pre- and post-pubertal children)
2. (15%) Based on the Faigenbaum et al. (1999) article, design a resistance training program for a 9 year old child that would optimize strength gains. Include the following:
   a. Relative number of reps
   b. Relative load
   c. Why, theoretically, should this program be the most effective?

3. (15%) Discuss the following training induced adaptations in older adults who engage in a moderate to heavy resistance training program.
   a. Muscular hypertrophy
      i. Is muscular hypertrophy possible?
      ii. If not, why not—and if so, which fiber type will exhibit hypertrophy (I or II)?
   b. Neural adaptations
4. (15%) Summarize the research related to the superiority of multiple set versus single set programs in eliciting strength gains.

5. (20%) A woman comes to you asking for help with her strength training program. She wants to increase strength and hypertrophy. Her current program is as follows: 3 days a week, total body program on each day with an emphasis on various single-joint exercises, 12-15 repetitions, light to moderate load. For every exercise she does, she performs 3 sets with 1 set being traditional concentric and eccentric movement and 2 sets being eccentric only (a guy at the gym told her that “negatives” were really good for building strength). She rests for about 2 minutes between each set. Knowing all of this answer the following:
   a. What changes would you make to her program?
   b. Justify each of the changes you make (i.e. why would you make that change).

NOTE: Address ONLY her strength training program.
6. (20%) Compare and contrast traditional periodized strength training programs with undulating periodized programs (as utilized with athletes). Your answer should include the following:
   a. A thorough description of each type of program complete with a description of how they are similar and how they differ
   b. A theoretical explanation of why, for athletes, periodized programs should be superior to regular strength training programs.