

GENERAL STUDIES COURSE PROPOSAL COVER FORM

	i nformatio d paste curi	<mark>1:</mark> r <u>ent</u> course inform	ation from Class	Search/Course (Catalog.		
College/School		College of Liberal Arts and Sciences			Department/School	SHPRS	
Prefix	REL	Number: 307	Title:	Approaches to	 Religion		Units: 3
		n: Examines the in id thinkers.	ntellectual histor	ry of academic s	tudy of religion throug	h various the	eoretical approaches,
Is this	a cross-liste	ed course?	No	If yes, please i	dentify course(s):		
Is this	a shared co	urse?	No	If so, list all ac	cademic units offering the	is course:	
designa	tion requested		ter of support, the ch	nair/director agrees t			ourse is required for <u>each</u> re aware of the General Studies
Is this	a permanen	t-numbered course	e with topics?	No			
for the teachin Reque	approved design g the course ested design	signation(s). It is the	responsibility of the neral Studies design and Critical Inquir	he chair/director to nation(s) and adher y–L	nanner that meets the criteri o ensure that all faculty re to the above guidelines. Mandatory		Director Initials Required) s
-	•	nent numbered cours ontact <u>Phyllis.Lucie@</u>		pleted the universi	ty's review and approval pr	rocess. For the	rules governing approval of
Subm	ission dead	lines dates are as	follow:				
		018 Effective Date		7	For Spring 2019 E	Effective Date	: March 10, 2018
		course will serve:					
awarene With dej	ss area requi	rements concurrently	, but may not satis	fy requirements in	course may satisfy a core at two core areas simultaneou ed toward both the General	usly, even if ap	proved for those areas.
		eral studies desig					
-		ch the appropriate					
		ritical Inquiry core	e courses (L)				
		ore courses (MA)	r. e				
		stics/quantitative a		courses (CS)			
		rts and Design cor oral Sciences core					
		es core courses (S					
		sity in the United S)			
		ness courses (G)	,	<u>_</u>			
His	storical Awa	areness courses (H	<u>)</u>				
-		al should include:					
	Criteria c Course c Sample s Copy of	quested that prop	al Studies design urse com the textbook	and list of requir	ested ed readings/books lly with all files compile	ed into one P	DF.
			E mail	Mariana D Tim	mormon@asy ady	Phone	(180)777 0680
Name Doportr		a Timmerman	E-mail		nmerman@asu.edu	Phone	(480)727-0689
-		Director approva				D	2/5/10
Chair/Di	rector name		atthew Delmont	1		Date:	3/5/18
Chair/Di	rector (Sigr	ature): /	Tothe De	lit			

Arizona State University Criteria Checklist for

LITERACY AND CRITICAL INQUIRY - [L]

Rationale and Objectives

Literacy is here defined broadly as communicative competence—that is, competence in written and oral discourse. **Critical inquiry** involves the gathering, interpretation, and evaluation of evidence. Any field of university study may require unique critical skills that have little to do with language in the usual sense (words), but the analysis of written and spoken evidence pervades university study and everyday life. Thus, the General Studies requirements assume that all undergraduates should develop the ability to reason critically and communicate using the medium of language.

The requirement in Literacy and Critical Inquiry presumes, first, that training in literacy and critical inquiry must be sustained beyond traditional First Year English in order to create a habitual skill in every student; and, second, that the skill levels become more advanced, as well as more secure, as the student learns challenging subject matter. Thus, two courses beyond First Year English are required in order for students to meet the Literacy and Critical Inquiry requirement.

Most lower-level [L] courses are devoted primarily to the further development of critical skills in reading, writing, listening, speaking, or analysis of discourse. Upper-division [L] courses generally are courses in a particular discipline into which writing and critical thinking have been fully integrated as means of learning the content and, in most cases, demonstrating that it has been learned. Notes:

- 1. ENG 101, 107 or ENG 105 must be prerequisites
- 2. Honors theses, XXX 493 meet [L] requirements
- 3. The list of criteria that must be satisfied for designation as a Literacy and Critical Inquiry [L] course is presented on the following page. This list will help you determine whether the current version of your course meets all of these requirements. If you decide to apply, please attach a current syllabus, or handouts, or other documentation that will provide sufficient information for the General Studies Council to make an informed decision regarding the status of your proposal.

Revised April 2014

Proposer: Please complete the following section and attach appropriate documentation.

	ASU - [L] CRITERIA					
TO QUALIFY FOR [L] DESIGNATION, THE COURSE DESIGN MUST PLACE A MAJOR EMPHASIS ON COMPLETING CRITICAL DISCOURSE AS EVIDENCED BY THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:						
YES	NO		Identify Documentation Submitted			
\boxtimes		CRITERION 1: At least 50 percent of the grade in the course should depend upon writing assignments (see Criterion 3). Group projects are acceptable only if each student gathers, interprets, and evaluates evidence, and prepares a summary report. <i>In-class essay exams may not be used for [L] designation.</i>	Assignments: Summary Paper 15%; Discussion Paper 25%; Final Essay Draft 10%; Final Paper 30%;			
fina	l grade th	be the assignments that are considered in the computation of course gradesand in at is determined by each assignment.	ndicate the proportion of the			
2. Als	0:					
		Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent				
C- 2	1					
\boxtimes		CRITERION 2: The writing assignments should involve gathering, interpreting, and evaluating evidence. They should reflect critical inquiry, extending beyond opinion and/or reflection.	Assignments: Summary Paper; Discussion Paper; Final Essay Draft; Final Paper;			
		be the way(s) in which this criterion is addressed in the course design.				
2. Als	0:					
	Please circle , underline , or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent					
C-	C-2					
\boxtimes		CRITERION 3: The syllabus should include a minimum of two writing and/or speaking assignments that are substantial in depth, quality, and quantity. Substantial writing assignments entail sustained in-depth engagement with the material. Examples include research papers, reports, articles, essays, or speeches that reflect critical inquiry and evaluation. Assignments such as brief reaction papers, opinion pieces, reflections, discussion posts, and impromptu presentations are not considered substantial writing/speaking assignments.	Assignments: Discussion Paper; Final Essay Draft; Final Paper;			
1. Please provide relatively detailed descriptions of two or more substantial writing or speaking tasks that are included in the course requirements						
2. Also:						
	\langle	Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent				
C-3	C-3					

ASU - [L] CRITERIA						
YES	NO		Identify Documentation Submitted			
\boxtimes		CRITERION 4: These substantial writing or speaking assignments should be arranged so that the students will get timely feedback from the instructor on each assignment in time to help them do better on subsequent assignments. <i>Intervention at earlier stages in the writing process is especially welcomed.</i>	Assignments: Discussion Paper; Final Essay Draft; Student Oral Presentation; Final Paper;			
	 Please describe the sequence of course assignmentsand the nature of the feedback the current (or most recent) course instructor provides to help students do better on subsequent assignments 					
	2. Also: Please circle, underline, or otherwise mark the information presented in the most recent course syllabus (or other material you have C-4					

Course Prefix	Number	Title	General Studies Designation
REL	307	Religion: Theory and Practice	L

Explain in detail which student activities correspond to the specific designation criteria. Please use the following organizer to explain how the criteria are being met.

Criteria (from checksheet)	How course meets spirit (contextualize specific examples in next column)	Please provide detailed evidence of how course meets criteria (i.e., where in syllabus)
CI	The course educates students in the written (and spoken) analysis, discussion and presentation of conceptual and practical aspects of religion.	Exercizes that request scholarly writing amount to 80% of the assignments of the course. They are identified in the schedule under weekly units 9; 14, 21; 29.
C2	Assignments and exercizes train and assess students' writing (and oral) skills in various capacities: gathering and interpretation of evidence; summary and comparison; critical analysis and debate, thesis-oriented argumentation and narrative.	Types of writing (and oral) skill assignments are identified in the schedule. They focus in particular on: gathering and interpretation of evidence (units 9;14;21; 27;28;29); summary and comparison (unit 9); critical analysis and debate (unit 14), thesis-oriented argumentation and narrative (units 21; 27; 28; 29).
C3	1) Discussion Paper (1000 words) asks the students to reflect the readings of the entire section III in order to critically discuss and assess the British Video Debate "We'd Be Better Off Without Religion"; 2) Final Essay (2000 words) asks the students to analyze in-depth the readings of section IV and to elaborate in detail how ritual, language, materiality, and modern media enhance and/or challenge the religious constitution of authority, social norms, and cultural identity.	Units involved with Discussion Paper are 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14; units involved in Final Essay are 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20.
C4	1) Students' Discussion Papers will be discussed in class after viewing the video and will receive oral and written instructor comments. 2) The Final Essay assignment foresees the written submission and oral presentation of a draft. Instructor comments and in-class discussion will, thereafter, guide the final elaboration of the students' Final Essays.	Discussion Papers will be orally discussed and commented in writing in and after unit 14. Final Essay Draft will be submitted in after unit 21; orally presented and discussed in units 27 & 28; finally submitted and assessed in and after unit 29.

Literacy and Critical Inquiry [L] Page 5

School of Historical, Philosophical and Religious Studies REL 307

Religion: Theory and Practice



General Course Description

This course examines theoretical approaches to religion and analyzes the study of religion in practice.

Course Description

The study of theoretical approaches to religion looks at classical theories and contemporary discussions. Classical theories entail sociological and comparative approaches, contemporary discussions critically reflect the historical contexts and cultural conditions of the concept of religion. The study of religion in practice looks at fundamental forms of religious expression and action. It asks how religions constitute authority, communication, and knowledge and discusses how religion is involved in the formation of social norms and political power relations.

Goals of the Course. Students will learn

To discuss classical theoretical approaches to religion To reflect on contemporary discussions about the concept of religion To study the expressive and performative practice of religion To scrutinize religion in modern and global contexts

To write and speak about religion in a scholarly way

Assignments and Grades

- Class Participation will count for 10% of your grade. Class participation is not the same as class attendance. You are expected to take an active role in this class by carefully studying the weekly readings and contributing meaningfully to in-class discussions. For each day, who contributes to the discussion will get one or more points, who does not contribute will get zero point, who does not attend class will get negative points.
- The Summary Paper: Classical Theory will count for 15 % of your grade. It should have 750 words. Based on the readings of section II, it should summarize and discuss how and to what extent the theories put forward by Durkheim, Eliade and the Social Anthropologists of the 20th century have helped in the establishment of the modern discipline of Religious Studies.
- The Discussion Paper will count for 25% of your grade. It should have 1000 words. It will be based on the readings and the video of section III and should discuss and assess the question debated in the video whether or not: "We'd Be Better Off Without Religion" today. Both the video and your

Commented [AH1]: C1

1

Commented [AH2]: C2 Commented [AH3]: C1 Commented [AH4]: C2, C3

papers will be discussed in class and you will receive an assessment and critique of your written and oral presentation.

- The Final Essay Draft: Religion in Practice will count for 10% of your grade. It should have 500 words presenting a working title, a conceptual draft, and a preliminary bibliography of your Final Essay "Religion in Practice". Based on the readings in section IV, the topic of the Final Essay may be selected by you, but needs to be approved by the instructor. You will receive your draft back in due time with detailed comments and critique by your instructor, based upon which you should elaborate your Final Essay.
- The Oral Presentation of your Final Essay in class will count for 10% of your grade. It should be based on your Final Essay Draft including the comments and critique of your instructor. The feedback from instructor comments and in-class discussion shall help you refine your draft.
- The Final Essay: Religion in Practice will count for 30 % of your grade. It shall have 2000 words in length. Based on the readings of section IV and the instructor comments on your draft you should elaborate how practical aspects such as ritual, language, materiality, and modern media enhance or challenge the religious constitution of authority, social norms, and cultural identity.

Technically, the final paper needs to have an introduction, elaboration, and conclusion of arguments. It should be formatted as a word document with fonts not larger than 12pts. All significant facts and arguments borrowed from bibliographic or electronic sources need to referenced in the text by author name and year of the source. All figures and verbatim quotations need to be referenced in the text by author name, year and page number(s). All sources used in the text need to be evidenced in a bibliography at the end of the paper. The bibliography needs to follow an acknowledged academic style, for instance the Chicago Style. www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/tools_citationguide

Grading Scale

less than 55 = failing; 56-66=D; 67-73=C; 74-76=C+; 77-79=B-; 80-86=B; 87-89=B+; 90-92=A-; 93-96=A; 98-100=A+

Note: All written assignments are to be submitted on blackboard assignments.

Blackboard

This course has an electronic website or *blackboard* which you can access through your *myASU* link. The *blackboard* contains announcements, syllabus, assignments and course readings. *Blackboard* literacy is required for all students and you are responsible for keeping up-to-date on announcements and assignments.

nd	
	Commented [AH5]: C4
	Commented [AH6]: C1
e	Commented [AH7]: C2, C3
e	
	Commented [AH8]: C4
	Commented [AH9]: C3
	Commented [AH10]: C1
or	
	Commented [AH11]: C2, C3, C4
t	Commented [AH12]: C2, C3
	Commented [AH13]: C2, C3

Weekly Schedule

1	Technical Introduction		
	I) Introduction	n	
2	Religion Here and There	Read: Winzeler, 21-35	
3	LABOUR DAY – No Class	<u>.</u>	
4	How to Study Religion Today?	Read: Knott, 494-510	
·	II) Classical Theories o	of Religion	
5	Introduction: Discussing the Clas-	Read: Bowen, 9-29	
	sics		Commented [AH14]: C2
6	Society and Religion: Durkheim	Read: Durkheim, 34-47	Commented [AH15]: C2
7	Myth and History: Eliade	Read: Eliade, 4-48	Commented [AH16]: C2
8	Classical Anthropological Theories of Religion	Styers, 315-326	Commented [AH17]: C2
	III) Current Deba	ates	
9	Religion as a Cultural System	Read: Geertz, 87-125	Commented [AH18]: C2
		Due Summary Paper	Commented [AH19]: C1; C2
10	Religion and Power	Read: Asad, 237-259	Commented [AH20]: C2; C3
11	Debating the Notion of Belief	Read: Bell, 100-117	Commented [AH21]: C2; C3
12	Religion and Violence	Read: Reader, 474-491	Commented [AH22]: C2; C3
13	We'd be better off without reli-	Video: 2007, 45 mins.	
	gion? A Debate.		Commented [AH23]: C2; C3
14	In-Class discussion of Video and	Due Discussion Paper	Commented [AH24]: C1; C2; C3;C4
	Discussion Papers IV) Religion in Pra	artice	
15	Basic Genres of Ritual	Read: Bell, 102-137	Commented [AH25]: C2; C3
16	Rites of Passage	Read: Bell, 94-102	Commented [AH26]: C2; C3
17	Hajj: The Pilgrimage	Video 1999, 52 mins	Commented [AH27]: C2; C3
18	Religion and Media	Read: Grimes, 219-234	Commented [AH28]: C2; C3
19	Religion and Language	Read: Keane, 431-448	
20	Religion and Materiality	Read: Cort 613-632	Commented [AH29]: C2; C3
' I	V) Modernity, Globalizat		Commented [AH30]: C2; C3
21	Islam in the Modern Age	Read: Tayoub, 1-15 Due Final Essay -DRAFT	Commented [AH31]: C1; C2; C3;C4
22	Young, Muslim and French, Islam in France	Video: 2004, 57 mins.	
23	Religion and Gender	Read: Boyarin 117-135	
24	Religious Pluralism	Read: Baumann, 101-121	
26	Religious Nationalism	Sahliyeh, 3-16	
26	FALL BREAK / No Class	<u> </u>	

27		Student Presentations	Commented [AH32]: C2; C4
28		Student Presentations	Commented [AH33]: C2; C4
29	No Class	Due Final Essay	Commented [AH34]: C1; C2; C3;C4

Readings

- Abdulkader I. Tayob, Defining Islam in the Throes of Modernity. Studies in Contemporary Islam 1/2 (1999): 1-15.
- Asad, Talal: Anthropological Conceptions of Religion: Reflections on Geertz, in: Man 1983/18:237-259
- Baumann, Gerd: 'The Lamps Are Many but the Light is One?' Processes of Sycretization in a Multiethnic Suburb of London, in: G. Aijmer, (ed.): Syncretism and the Commerce of Symbol Göteborg 1995: Institute for Advanced Studies in Social Anthropology, 104-121
- Bell, C. Chinese Believes in Spirits: Belief and Believing in the Study of Religion, Frankenberry, N. (ed). Radical Interpretation in Religion, New York 2002: Cambridge University Press, 100-117; 102-137
- Bell, C.: Ritual. Perspectives and Dimensions, New York / Oxford 1997: Oxford University Press, 94-102;
- Bowen, J. The Twin Transformation of Religion, in: Religions in Practice: An Approach to the Anthropology of Religion. Boston 2010: Prentice Hall, 9-29
- Boyarin, D. Gender, in: Taylor, M. (ed): Critical terms for religious Studies, Chicago 1998: University
 of Chicago Press, 117-135
- Cort, J. E. Art, Religion, and Material Culture: Some Reflections on Method, JAAR Vol. 64, No. 3 (1996): 613-632.
- Durkheim, E. The Elementary Forms of Religion, in: Lambek, Michael (ed). A Reader in the Anthropology of Religion. London 2008: Blackwell, 34-47
- Eliade, M. The Myth of the Eternal Return. Cosmos and History, Princeton [1954] 2005: Princeton University Press, 3-48
- Geertz, C. Religion as a Cultural System, in: Banton, M. (ed): Anthropological Approaches to the Study of Religion, London 1966: Tavistock, 87-125
- Grimes, Ronald. Ritual and the Media. In: Hoover, Stewart M. & Lynn Schofield Clark (Eds.): Practicing Religion in the Age of the Media. Explorations in Media, Religion and Culture. New York et al.: Columbia University Press, 219-234.
- Keane, W. Language and Religion, Duranti, A. (ed). A Companion to Linguistic Anthropology, Malden 2004: Blackwell, 431-48
- Knott, K. How to Study Religion, in: Linda Woodhead et al (eds). Religions in the Modern World: Traditions and Transformations, Routledge 2016, 494-510
- Reader, I. Religion and Violence, in: Linda Woodhead et al (eds). Religions in the Modern World: Traditions and Transformations, Routledge 2016, 473-491

- Sahliyeh, Emile (1990) Religious Resurgence and Political Modernization, in:Sahliyeh, Emile (ed): Religious Resurgence and Politics in the Contemporary World, New York 1990: State University of New York Press, pgs. 3-16
- Styers, Randall. Classical Anthropological Theories of Religion, King, Richard. Religion, Theory, Critique: Classic and Contemporary Approaches and Methodologies, New York 2017: Columbia University Press, 15-326
- Winzeler, Robert. Religion Here and there. Western Notions in Comparative Perspective Anthropology and Religion. What we know, think, and question, New York 2012: Altamira, 21-35

Videos:

- We'd Be Better Off Without Religion: A Debate, 115 min, 2007
- Hajj: The Pilgrimage. 52 mins. 1999
- Young, Muslim and French: Stories of Assimilation and Defiance, 47 mins, 2006

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is stealing. Whenever you borrow a phrase, sentence, paragraph—even an idea stated in your own words—from any outside source (news writing, magazine, TV show, book) without giving credit to that source, you have plagiarized. Plagiarism is cheating yourself and someone else. The consequences are severe including failure for the assignment, probable failure for the course, disciplinary referral to the Dean and possible expulsion from the University. Academic integrity is expected of every individual: https://provost.asu.edu/academicintegrity

Disability Accommodations

Qualified students who will require disability accommodations in this class are encouraged to make their requests either to me at the beginning of the semester or to the ASU Disability Resource Center https://eoss.asu.edu/drc. Disability information is treated as confidential.

Classroom Recording

To ensure the free and open discussion of ideas, students may not record classroom lectures, discussion and/or activities without the advance written permission of the instructor, and any such recording properly approved in advance can be used solely for the student's own private use.